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## Highlights

## Services

- In 1994, 3,303 of the 3,639 institutions of higher education in the United States reported that they had their own academic library. By Carnegie Classification, the largest number of academic libraries $(1,175)$ was at Associate of Arts institutions with 36 percent of the total (table 1 and figure 1).
- Just over one-fourth (28 percent) of academic libraries in higher education institutions have branch libraries and among those with branches the mean number of branches was 2.6 (table 1).
- In fiscal year 1994, general collection circulation transactions in the nation's academic libraries at institutions of higher education totaled 183.1 million and reserve collection circulation transactions totaled 48.4 million (table 2).
- For general circulation transactions, the total circulation per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment was 18.3 (table 2). General circulation ranged from 8.3 per FTE enrollment in Associate of Arts institutions to 31.4 per FTE in Research I and II institutions (table 3).
- In 1994 , academic libraries provided a total of 8.8 million interlibrary loans to other libraries (both higher education and other types of libraries) and received 6.3 million loans (table 2). Interlibrary loans to other institutions increased by 25 percent and loans received increased by 40 percent over the period between 1990 to 1994 (table 2).
- Overall, the largest percentage of academic libraries (43 percent) reported having 60-79 hours of service per typical week. However, 41 percent provided 80 or more public service hours per typical week. The mean number of public service hours per week was 74.6 hours (figure 3 ).
- Taken together, academic libraries reported a gate count of 17.8 million visitors per typical week ( 1.8 visits per total FTE enrollment) (table 2).
- Over the fiscal year 1994, the academic libraries made 487,000 presentations to groups serving about 6.1 million individuals (table 2 and appendix table B:3).


## Collections

- Taken together the nation's 3,303 academic libraries at institutions of higher education held a total of 776.4 million volumes of books, bound serials, and government documents and 422.3 million titles at the end of FY 1994 (table 4).
- In 1994 the overall mean number of volumes held per FTE enrollment was 78 and the median per FTE enrollment was 57 volumes (table 6). The mean number of volumes held per FTE enrollment ranged from 16 in public Associate of Arts to 258 in private Research I and II institutions (table 6). The number per FTE enrollment has increased from about 57 in 1974 to the current figure of 78 in 1994 (table 5).
- Of the total volumes held at the end of the year, 43 percent ( 336.6 million) were held at the 125 institutions categorized under the 1994 Carnegie Classification as Research I or Research II institutions (table 6 and figure 7). Research institutions have about 23 percent of FTE enrollment. In contrast 7 percent of volumes were at the Associate of Arts granting institutions with 32 percent of FTE enrollment (figure 7).
- The number of volumes added per FTE enrollment has declined from 3.0 in 1974 to a low of 1.9 in 1990. In 1994 the number added per FTE enrollment was 2.2 (table 5 and figure 6).


## Staff

$=$ There was a total of 95,843 FTE staff working in academic libraries in 1994. Of these 26,726 ( 28 percent) were librarians or other professional staff; 40,381 (42 percent) were other paid staff; 326 ( 0.3 percent) were contributed services staff; and 28,411 ( 30 percent) were student assistants (appendix table B-8 and figure 8).

- The total number of library staff including student assistants declined by about 0.1 percent from 1990 to 1994 (table 8). Overall since 1976, total library FTE professional staff have increased by 15 percent, while the total FTE staff of institutions of higher education have increased by about 36 percent (figure 10).
- There were 9.6 FTE library staff (including student assistants) per $1,000 \mathrm{FTE}$ enrollment (table 8). The institutional median library staff per 1,000 was similar (8.9 FTE) (table 8). Excluding student assistants the median was 5.9 FTE library staff per 1,000 enrollment (appendix table B-12c).


## Expenditures

- In 1994, operating expenditures for libraries at the 3,303 institutions of higher education totaled $\$ 4.01$ billion (table 9). Half the total went to salaries and wages, 34 percent to information resources, and 16 percent to other expenses (figure 11).
- Among information resources expenditures, current serial subscriptions were the largest category, accounting for fully half of the expenditures (figure 12).
- Expenditures per FTE enrollment in constant 1994 dollars were $\$ 406$ in 1974 and $\$ 401$ in 1994. Low points in expenditures per FTE enrollment were reached in 1981 (\$345) and 1990 (\$367). Between 1990 and 1994 expenditures per FTE enrollment increased by about 5 percent in constant dollars (table 10 and figure 13).
- The libraries at the Research I and II and Doctoral I and II institutions (7 percent of the total institutions) accounted for $\$ 2.09$ billion, or 52 percent of the total operating expenditure dollars at all college and university libraries (calculated from table 1 and table 10).
- In 1994, the median total operating expenditures per FTE enrollment was $\$ 291$ and the median for information resource expenditures was $\$ 86.15$ (table 10 and appendix table B12d).
- The percentage of total institution Education \& General (E\&G) expenditures for academic libraries was 3.9 in 1974 and 2.9 in 1994 (figure 14). Library expenditures as a percent of E\&G expenditures declined 2.3 percent between 1990 and 1994 (table 11).
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## The Status of Academic Libraries, 1994

## Introduction

Two of the national education goals for the year 2000 emphasize the important roles played by resources and staff efforts of academic libraries. The nation's fourth education goal, which is concerned with the ongoing need for access to learning resources for teachers and faculty members, and the nation's sixth education goal, which speaks of lifelong learning, both stress the importance of maintaining and improving the nation's academic libraries (National Education Goals Panel, 1994). This report presents a description of the status of academic libraries in 1994, a time of rapid technology related change and increased introduction of electronic services (Lynch, 1996).
The report presents detailed tabulations for 1994 and historical comparisons with previous years, with a focus on comparisons since 1990. The data are from the 1994 Academic Library Survey (ALS), a part of the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) of the United States Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES).

IPEDS is the U.S. Department of Education's vehicle for collecting data from all postsecondary institutions in the United States. Other surveys included within IPEDS are Institutional Characteristics, Fall Enrollment, Completions, Finance, Faculty Salaries, and Fall Staff. The data in this report come from the institutions of higher education (IHE's) in IPEDS and include all colleges and universities with accreditation at the higher education level as recognized by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education.

## Topics Covered in the Report

Consistent with the 1992 report, The Status of Academic Libraries in the United States, Results from the 1990 and 1992 Academic Library Surveys (Rossi, Daughety, 1997), the 1994 report focuses on several key library indicators. These indicators have been expanded over the 1992 report to reflect increased attention to library services. The indicators chosen for the report reflect those developed by the Association of Research Libraries. This group has developed a series of 30 ratio indicators (Association of Research Libraries, Indicators; see appendix $C$ for a listing of the ratios). Many of these can be developed from information collected in IPEDS and we have included several of them in this report. In addition we report on several other data items included in the IPEDS ALS questionnaire.

Listed below are the topics covered in the report.

## Library number and diversity

- Number of branch libraries
- Distribution by Carnegie Classification


## Library services

- Library circulation and circulation per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment
- Interlibrary loan transactions and ratio of items loaned over items borrowed
- Reference transactions including computer searches per FTE enrollment
- Number served in presentations to groups
- Gate count of visitors to library per typical week
- Public service hours


## Library collections

- Total volumes and total serial subscriptions
- Volumes held and added per FTE enrollment
- Serial subscriptions per FTE enrollment
- Other collection items
- Ratio of items added to items held


## Library staff

- Professional staff as percentage of total staff
- Other paid staff as percentage of total staff
- Student assistants as percentage of total staff
- Number of library staff members per FTE enrollment


## Library expenditures

- Distribution of expenditures between salaries, information resources, and other expenditures
- Distribution of information resources expenditures; serials as percent of the total
- Total expenditures per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment
- Expenditures for information resources per FTE enrollment
- Expenditures for serials per FTE enrollment
- Operating expenditures as a percent of total Education and General ( $\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{G}$ ) expenditures

Appendix A contains methodological information for the 1994 survey and Appendix B contains detailed tables. Appendix C is the ARL benchmark listing and Appendix D is a copy of the 1994 survey form.

## Definition of an Academic Library

The following definition was used by the study and appeared on the survey form.

An Academic Library is defined as an entity in a postsecondary institution that provides all of the following:

1. Organized collection of printed or other materials, or a combination thereof;
2. A staff trained to provide and interpret such materials as required to meet the informational, cultural, recreational, or educational needs of clientele;
3. An established schedule in which services of the staff are available to clientele;
4. The physical facilities necessary to support such a collection, staff, and schedule.

This definition includes libraries that are part of learning resource centers.

## Coverage and Response

Of the 3,639 higher education institutions, there were 132 institutions that did not have their own library but shared a library with one or more of 70 other institutions. These institutions were excluded from the survey. There were also 204 institutions that were found to be out of scope because they did not have an academic library as defined by the survey. Thus in 1994 there were 3,303 academic libraries in the colleges and universities in the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

Academic Library Survey Response Rates. The response rate for the 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey was 93.7 percent. Of the 3,303 college and university libraries, 209 or 6.3 percent were complete nonrespondents. Item response ranged from a high of 92.2 percent for operating expenditures for books to 56.4 percent for microform titles added to collections. Any items discussed in the text with less than 70 percent response rate are noted. Appendix tables A-1 to A-3 present further information on overall and item response rates. Data were imputed for nonresponse.

Coverage and Time Frames. The report covers libraries in higher education institutions in the 50 states and the District of Columbia (D.C.). The focus of the report is on 1994 data with detailed comparisons to 1990 . We also include selected historical trend comparisons with earlier reports in the series back to 1974. The Academic Library survey has been conducted by NCES since 1966 at irregular intervals. Beginning with the 1990 survey, the Academic Libraries survey has been conducted on a 2-year cycle. Although there have been changes in the form over the years, the series is generally considered to be continuous. Data collected since 1974 have been summarized and reported in the Digest of Education Statistics in various years and this information from the Digest is summarized in this report to give an historical context to the 1994 academic library data.

For the 1994 data collection, library staff data were reported for fall, 1994. Operating expenditures and library collections were reported for fiscal year (FY) 1994. Library circulation and interlibrary loans are also for fiscal year 1994 and other library services are for a typical week in fall of 1994. FY 1994 is defined as any 12-month period between July 1, 1993, through September 30, 1994, that corresponds to the institution's fiscal year.

In 1994, there were 3,303 academic libraries at institutions of higher education (IHE's) in the 50 states and D.C.

The Academic Library Survey response rate was 93.7 percent in 1994

To ensure complete coverage, imputed data are used in reporting all 1994 data, however, the percent change comparisons with 1990 data were calculated using only those institutions that responded to the item in both 1990 and 1994. These comparisons are made only for items in which 70 percent or more responded in both years.

## Classifications Used in the Report

The tables and charts in this report present the data by control (public, private); size of FTE enrollment (less than 1,500; 1,500 to 4,$999 ; 5,000$ or more); and the 1994 Carnegie Classification. For table presentation, "level I" and "level II" of the Carnegie Classifications were combined. The Carnegie Classification categories used are as follows: Research I and II; Doctoral I and II; Master's I and II; Baccalaureate I and II; Associate of Arts; and Specialized. ${ }^{1}$ In addition there were 213 institutions that did not have a Carnegie classification in 1994 and these were put into a "not classified" category (The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, 1994 Edition, Princeton, N.J.). Appendix B tables also include tabulations of data by highest offering, a categorization based on the IPEDS classification of the highest degree awarded by the institution (doctor's, master's, bachelor's, and less than 4-year).

[^0]
## Number and Diversity of Academic Libraries

The term "academic library" includes a diverse group of libraries that share the mission of higher education in very different settings with a wide range of institutional histories and resource levels. In 1994, just over half the 3,303 academic libraries were in private institutions ( 53 percent) and 47 percent were in public institutions (table 1). The distribution of academic libraries by institution type/mission mirrors that of the distribution of IHE's. By Carnegie Classification, the largest number of academic libraries was in Associate of Arts institutions with 36 percent of the total. Only 4 percent (125) of academic libraries were at Research I and II institutions and another 3 percent (110) were at institutions classified as Doctoral I and II (figure 1 and table 1). About 16 percent were in Master's I and II, 17 percent (556) were at institutions classified as Specialized and 18 percent were at Baccalaureate I and II institutions.

Figure 1. -Distribution of academic libraries by Carnegie Classification of institutions: fall 1994


## Historical Growth

In 1967, there were 2,145 academic libraries reported (Beazley, 1979). By 1974 there were 2,972 academic libraries reported, and in 1987 there were 3,438 (NCES, Digest of Education Statistics, 1996, table 412). In 1992, there were 3,274 libraries and in 1994 there were 3,303 . Since the number fluctuates, in part, based on the manner in which branch campuses were classified by the institution, it is not possible to conclude that there were more academic libraries in 1987 than 1994.

## Branch Libraries

In 1994, the 3,303 academic libraries reported a total of 2,383 branches. About 28 percent (907) of the academic libraries reported having branch libraries. In 1994, among those having branches the mean per library was 2.6 and the median was 1. By Carnegie Classification, the percent having branches ranged from 15 percent in "Not classified" and 18 percent in Specialized institutions to 90 percent in Research I and II institutions. The number of branches reported ranged from 1 to 31 (data not shown). By Carnegie Classification, among those

Just over one fourth (28 percent) of academic libraries have branch libraries having branches, the mean number ranged from 1.7 in Specialized to 7.7 in Research institutions (table 1).

Table 1. - Number of libraries, libraries with branches, and mean number of branches among libraries having a branch by institutional characteristics: fall 1994

| Institutional <br> characteristic | Total libraries |  |  | Libraries with <br> branches |  | Branches |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total......................... | Number <br> Control | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Mean |  |
| Public........................ | 1,548 | $100 \%$ | 907 | $27.5 \%$ | 2,383 | 2.6 |  |
| Private........................ | 1,755 | 46.9 | 501 | 32.3 | 1,424 | 2.8 |  |
| Carnegie Classification |  | 53.1 | 406 | 23.1 | 959 | 2.4 |  |
| Research I and II......... | 125 |  | 3.8 | 113 | 90.4 | 873 |  |
| Doctoral I and II .......... | 110 | 3.3 | 79 | 71.8 | 231 | 7.7 |  |
| Master's I and II .......... | 518 | 15.7 | 154 | 29.7 | 293 | 1.9 |  |
| Baccalaureate I and II.. | 606 | 18.3 | 136 | 22.4 | 239 | 1.8 |  |
| Associate of Arts.......... | 1,175 | 35.6 | 295 | 25.1 | 524 | 1.8 |  |
| Specialized................. | 556 | 16.8 | 98 | 17.6 | 164 | 1.7 |  |
| Not classified .............. | 213 | 6.4 | 32 | 15.0 | 59 | 1.8 |  |

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, (NCES) Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey

## Academic Library Services

This report is written at a time of rapid changes in the mediums of information exchange, when many academic libraries are becoming "virtual" libraries, as well as physical collections, offering remote access to library catalogs and reference bases (Hughes, 1992; Moran, 1984; Ardis, 1994; Neal, 1996). Students may access the catalog from their dorm rooms, computer labs, homes and offices. Questions arise as to the impact these changes may have over time on the count of library services such as circulation, reference transactions, frequency of visits to the library, and hours of service.

Table 2.-Total number of services provided and number per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment by type of service: 1994 fiscal year and percent change from 1990

| Service Category | Total number in thousands 1994 | Percent change 1990-94 | Number per full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment 1994 | Percent change 1990-94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General circulation ................................ | 183,123 | 11.6\% | 18.3 | 5.3\% |
| Reserve circulation ............................... | 48,380 | * | 4.8 | * |
| Loans to other libraries per year............. | 8,761 | 25.4 | 0.9 | 18.3 |
| Loans from other libraries per year........ | 6,344 | 40.4 | 0.6 | 32.7 |
| Reference transactions per week ............. | 2,147 | * | 0.2 | * |
| Served in presentations to groups per year | 6,081 | * | 0.6 | * |
| Visits to library (gate count) per week...... | 17,830 | * | 1.8 | * |

*Less than 70 percent response in either 1990 or 1994 or both years.
NOTE: Totals reported for 1994 include imputed data to account for nonresponse. Calculations of percent change include only those institutions reporting in both 1994 and 1990 and are reported only for variables in which 70 percent or more of libraries reported for the item in both 1990 and 1994. FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to full-time enrollment. For calculations of percent change, actual FTE enrollments for 1990 and 1994 for institutions included were used in calculations.

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1990 and 1994 Academic Library Surveys; and 1989-90 and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Surveys

## Items Borrowed (Circulation)

In 1994 there were 183.1 million general circulation loans and 48.4 million reserve loans (table 2 and appendix table $\mathrm{B}-1$ ). General circulation per full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment was 18.3 (table 3).

Change in General Circulation Since 1990. When the set of institutions reporting in both 1990 and 1994 are compared there was an 11.6 percent increase in general circulation over the period and a 5.3 percent increase in circulation per FTE enrollment (table 2).

Table 3.-General circulation per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment by institution control and Carnegie Classification: 1994

| Institutional characteristic | General circulation per full-time-equivalent enrollment (FTE) in 1994 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carnegie Classification | Total | Public | Private |
| All ................................................ | 18.3 | 16.8 | 23.4 |
| Research I and II... | 31.4 | 29.8 | 37.6 |
| Doctoral I and II . | 19.6 | 18.4 | 23.0 |
| Master's I and II ............................ | 15.3 | 15.5 | 14.7 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ..................... | 20.7 | 17.2 | 21.8 |
| Associate of Arts............................ | 8.3 | 8.6 | 8.0 |
| Specialized.................................... | 29.0 | 24.4 | 30.9 |

NOTE: Data reported for 1994 include imputed data to account for nonresponse. FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to full-time enrollment.

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1990 and 1994 Academic Library Surveys, and 1989-90 and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Surveys,

General circulation per FTE enrollment was 18.3 per year in 1994

Differences in Circulation by Institution Type. General circulation per FTE enrollment varies considerably by institution type, ranging from 8.3 in Associate of Arts granting institutions to 29.0 in Specialized and 31.4 in Research I and II institutions (table 3). Circulation per FTE enrollment is higher in private than public Research I and II, Doctoral I and II, Baccalaureate I and II, and Specialized institutions (table 3).

## Interlibrary Loans

While internal general circulation has increased only modestly in recent yearsinterlibrary loans increased at larger rates, perhaps reflecting the wider access to on-line library college catalogues, and the strategy of shared resources. The total number of items loaned through interlibrary loan was 8.8 million and the number received was 6.3 million in 1994. Using the figures from the institutions reporting in both 1990 and 1994, there was an increase of 25 percent in items loaned and an increase of 18 percent in items loaned per FTE enrollment (table 2). Loans received from other libraries increased by even larger rates- 40 percent overall and per FTE enrollment by 33 percent (table 2).

Ratio of Interlibrary Items Loaned to Items Borrowed. The total number of interlibrary loans received ( 6.3 million in 1994) was smaller than items loaned ( 8.8 million in 1994) because academic libraries also loan to non-academic libraries (table 2). The Association of Research Libraries has selected the "ratio of items loaned to items borrowed" as an indicator and this is an example of how an indicator can vary considerably by type of library and enrollment size.

Among those libraries having at least one item loaned and one item borrowed through interlibrary loan, the mean per institution ratio of items lent to items borrowed was 2.54 , however, the median per institution was .99 (data not shown). This difference between the mean and the median reflects a very skewed distribution. By Carnegie Classification, the median ratio ranged from .73 in "not classified" and . 78 in Associate of Arts colleges to 1.61 in Research I and II universities (figure 2).

> Interlibrary loans
> to other institutions increased by 25
> percent and loans received from other libraries increased by 40 percent between 1990 and 1994

Figure 2.-Median ratio of items loaned to items received through interlibrary loans by Carnegie Classification: 1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey

## Reference Transactions

A total of about 2.1 million reference transactions per week (including computer searching) were reported (table 2). Fewer libraries ( 72 percent in 1994) reported information on reference transactions than reported circulation and interlibrary loans. Only about two-thirds of the libraries reported this information in both 1990 and 1994. Moreover, the interpretation of reference transaction may be inconsistent and is changing with the use of electronic searching for information. A comparison of those who did report for these categories indicates, however, that these categories remained stable over the period.

## Visits to the Library (Gate Count)

Academic libraries reported a total gate count of 17.8 million visits per week or 1.8 visits per FTE enrollment (table 2). As in past surveys this survey also had lower than average item response, with only 68.3 percent of libraries reporting on the gate count.

## Public Service Hours

On average, academic libraries were open 75 hours per week in 1994. The mean hours ranged from 65 in Associate of Arts institutions to 107 in Research I and II institutions. The largest number ( 43 percent) of academic libraries were open between 60 and 79 hours, however, 41 percent were open 80 or more hours per week. About 16 percent were open under 60 hours and 3 percent were open under 40 hours (calculated from Appendix B, table B-2).

Figure 3.-Percent distribution of academic libraries by public service hours per week and mean public service hours per week by Carnegie Classification: 1994

## Distribution of public service hours



Mean public service hours per week


Higher Education......... 74.6
Research I and II.............107.0
Doctoral I and II...............99.1
Master's I and II............... 88.5
Baccalaureate I and II........ 84.9
Associate of Arts............. 65.2
Specialized..................... 73.7

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

## Academic Library Collections

For some time, faced with the ever-mushrooming number of publications, academic librarians have spoken of the shift from acquisition to access and the "decline of ownership"(Battin, 1989; Kyrillidou, Maxwell, Stubbs, 1996). With the development of new information age technology, the traditional notion of a library as a physical facility housing primarily paper-based materials has given way to a new image. The academic library is sometimes thought of as a range of services and collections made accessible through networks (Hughes, 1991). At the same time that this information technology revolution is occurring, new mediums of information storing and processing have meant that the categories of materials making up a library collection have been expanded. However, while new electronic mediums are growing in importance, in 1994 only a small fraction of recorded information was available in electronic format. Moreover, there are challenges in deciding which documents should be reformatted into electronic form and in providing continued access to these reformatted materials, as well as to those that will never be reformatted (Ardis, 1994).

The IPEDS Survey Form has been revised to reflect these changes over the years. In 1994 the collection categories for which counts were obtained included:

- Books and bound serials
- Government documents
- Current serials
- Microforms
- Cartographic materials
- Graphic materials
- Sound recordings
- Film and video materials
- Computer files
- Linear feet of manuscripts and archives
- Other library materials.

The number of titles were also collected for books, serials, microforms, sound recordings, films and video materials, and computer files. In 1994, the term "computer files" replaced the term machine readable materials used in earlier surveys.

## Library Collection Summary

In 1994, there were about 776 million volumes of books and bound serials and 422 million titles. The category of materials with the most units was microforms with 929 million units and 158 million titles (table 4). A total of about 6.6 million paid and unpaid serial subscriptions were reported. There were about 9.3 million titles of sound recordings, 3.4 million of films and videos and about .5 million of computer files.

Table 4.-Total number of library materials held and added per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment: Fiscal Year (FY) 1994

| Category | Held at end of FY 1994 |  | Added during FY1994 |  | Number added as percent of number held |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { thousands } \end{aligned}$ | Per full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment | Number in thousands | Per full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment |  |
| Volumes, units, and linear feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books and bound serials .................................... | 776,447 | 77.6 | 21,544 | 2.2 | 2.8\% |
| Microform units ............................................... | 929,249 | 92.9 | 46,072 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| Cartographic materials....................................... | 34,959 | 3.5 | 865 | 0.1 | 2.5 |
| Graphic materials............................................. | 94,531 | 9.5 | 2,721 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| Current paid and unpaid serial subscriptions........ | 6,621 | 0.7 | 316 | 0.03 | 5.1 |
| Manuscripts and archives linear feet ................... | 4,131 | 0.4 | * | * | * |
| Titles |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books and bound serials .................................... | 422,267 | 42.2 | 12,831 | 1.3 | 3.0 |
| Government documents not reported elsewhere.... | 66,509 | 6.7 | * | * | * |
| Microforms ..................................................... | 158,345 | 15.8 | * | * | * |
| Sound recordings .............................................. | 9,269 | 0.9 | * | * | * |
| Films and video materials .................................. | 3,392 | 0.3 | 364 | 0.04 | 10.7 |
| Computer files ................................................. | 465 | ** | 83 | 0.01 | 17.9 |

*Less than 70 percent reporting in 1994
${ }^{* *}$ Less than . 1 per FTE
NOTE: FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to full-time enrollment in 3303 institutions having academic libraries.
SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

Items Added as Percentage of Items Held. Looking at items added as a percentage of items held, we can see the higher relative growth in films and videos and computer files (table 4). In 1994, the number of films and video titles added was 11 percent of the number of films and video titles held. The number of computer file titles added was 18 percent of the number of computer file titles held, compared, for example, to 3 percent for book titles.

## Change in Volumes Held In and Added To Collections

The number of book and bound serial volumes held and added during the year are statistics that have been consistently collected for a number of years and have had high reporting rates. In 1974, a total of 447 million volumes were counted in the nation's academic libraries and by 1994, 776 million volumes were counted (figure 4).

Figure 4.-Total number of book volumes held in academic libraries: 1974 to 1994 (volumes in thousands)


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Digest of Educational Statistics, 1996, table 412; Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

Increase Relative to Enrollment. Between 1974 and 1994 the number of volumes counted as held in academic libraries increased by 74 percent while FTE enrollment increased by 32 percent ( 7.8 million to 10.3 million). This difference is reflected in the number of volumes held per FTE enrollment which increased from 57 to about 78 over the 20 year period. As can be seen from table 5 and figure 5 , most of the increase in volumes per FTE enrollment occurred in the period prior to 1987. Since 1987 the number per FTE has fluctuated between 72 and 78, in part related to differences in FTE enrollment counts.

Between 1974 and 1994 FTE enrollment increased 32 percent and volumes increased
by 74 percent

Figure 5.-Number of volumes held per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment: 1974-1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Digest of Educational Statistics, 1996, table 412; Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

Number of Volumes Added Per Year. The increase in the number of volumes held over time is the result of a rather steady number of volumes added each year rather than increases in the rate of additions. The number of volumes added each year shows no increase over the period, ranging from 23.2 million in 1974 to a low of 19.0 million in 1990 (table 5). In 1994, 21.5 million volumes were added. The number added per FTE enrollment declined from a high of 3.0 in 1974 to a low of 1.9 in 1990 (table 5 and figure 6). In 1994, there were 2.2 volumes added per FTE enrollment.

The number of volumes added per year has not increased over the last 20 years

Table 5.-Number of books and bound serial volumes held and added, and number of serial subscriptions held: 1974-1994

| Year | Volumes held |  | Volumes added <br> Serial <br> subscriptions <br> held |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in <br> thousands | Per full-time- <br> equivalent <br> (FTE) <br> enrollment | Number <br> in <br> thousands | Per full-time- <br> equivalent <br> (FTE) <br> enrollment | Number in <br> thousands |
| $1974 \ldots$. | 447,059 | 57.3 | 23,242 | 3.0 | 4,434 |
| $1976 \ldots$. | 481,442 | 57.9 | 22,367 | 2.7 | 4,670 |
| $1978 \ldots$. | 519,895 | 62.3 | 21,608 | 2.6 | 4,775 |
| $1981 \ldots$. | 567,826 | 63.0 | 19,507 | 2.2 | 4,690 |
| $1984 \ldots$. | 631,727 | 70.6 | 20,658 | 2.3 | 6,317 |
| $1987 \ldots$. | 718,504 | 77.8 | 21,907 | 2.4 | 6,416 |
| $1990 \ldots$ | 717,042 | 71.8 | 19,003 | 1.9 | 5,749 |
| $1992 \ldots$ | 749,429 | 74.9 | 20,982 | 2.0 | 6,966 |
| $1994 \ldots$ | 776,447 | 77.6 | 21,544 | 2.2 | 6,621 |

NOTE: Figures per FTE enrollment for 1974 to 1992 were taken from the Digest of Education Statistics, 1996, table 412. The figures were calculated on the basis of total FTE enrollments for the various years. For 1994, the rate per FTE enrollment was calculated based on enrollment in the 3,303 academic library institutions, a base that is slightly smaller than the total IHE's ( 10.0 million compared to 10.3 million). The rate using the later figure would be 75.4 volumes per FTE enrollment held and 2.1 volumes per FTE enrollment added.

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Digest of Educational Statistics, 1996, table 412; Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey, and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

Figure 6.-Number of volumes added per year in academic libraries per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment: 1974-1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Digest of Education • Statistics, 1996, table 412; and Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

## Change Since 1990 in Volumes Held

When the set of institutions reporting in both 1990 and 1994 is compared, we see that overall the number of volumes increased by 10.9 percent and the number per FTE enrollment by 4.6 percent (table 6).

These figures, indicate that despite the growth of other mediums, the number of bound printed volumes held continued to increase at rates higher than that of enrollment. The rates of increases were highest among private Research I and II institutions. This group had increases of 19.0 percent in total volumes and 16.1 percent in volumes per FTE enrollment (table 6). However, among certain types of institutions the number of volumes per FTE enrollment actually declined between 1990 and 1994. A 5.8 and 3.7 percent decline occurred among Associate of Arts and Specialized institutions respectively and a smaller decline occurred among Baccalaureate institutions (-0.7 percent).

Table 6. -Volumes held at end of the fiscal year and volumes held per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment by Carnegie Classification: 1994, and percent change since 1990

| Institutional characteristic | Volumes held at end of year |  | Volumes per FTE Enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in thousands | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Percent } \\ \text { change } \\ 1990- \end{array} \\ 1994 \end{gathered}$ | Mean | Percent change $1990-$ 1994 | Lower quartile | Median | Upper quartile |
| Total. | 776,445 | 10.9\% | 78 | 4.6\% | 21 | 57 | 116 |
| Public...................... | 479,695 | 10.1 | 63 | 3.8 | 16 | 31 | 62 |
| Private..................... | 298,819 | 12.7 | 125 | 6.0 | 42 | 96 | 182 |
| Research I and II........ | 336,562 | 13.1 | 148 | 12.6 | 95 | 129 | 194 |
| Public...................... | 218,460 | 10.5 | 121 | 10.6 | 89 | 113 | 136 |
| Private..................... | 118,100 | 19.0 | 258 | 16.1 | 157 | 220 | 381 |
| Doctoral I and II......... | 87,077 | 12.8 | 89 | 10.9 | 61 | 83 | 116 |
| Public...................... | 53,350 | 13.2 | 73 | 10.7 | 58 | 72 | 90 |
| Private....................: | 33,727 | 12.0 | 134 | 12.1 | 83 | 98 | 184 |
| Master's I and II......... | 153,340 | 9.6 | 65 | 3.7 | 53 | 66 | 93 |
| Public...................... | 105,520 | 10.0 | 60 | 4.7 | 47 | 61 | 76 |
| Private..................... | 47,821 | 8.6 | 80 | 0.8 | 59 | 79 | 109 |
| Baccalaureate I and II | 95,482 | 8.7 | $1!8$ | -0.7 | 75 | 115 | 176 |
| Public...................... | 10,899 | 5.0 | 60 | -4.8 | 45 | 56 | 81 |
| Private..................... | 84,583 | 9.2 | 135 | -0.1 | 89 | 126 | 185 |
| Associate of Arts ......... | 51,226 | 5.1 | 16 | -5.8 | 11 | 18 | 30 |
| Public....................... | 47,053 | 5.9 | 16 | -5.2 | 12 | 18 | 27 |
| Private..................... | 4,173 | -4.5 | 27 | -7.2 | 7 | 18 | 63 |
| Specialized................. | 44,414 | 6.7 | 118 | -3.7 | 42 | 116 | 326 |
| Public...................... | 10,415 | * | 94 | -10.7 | 54 | 89 | 156 |
| Private..................... | 33,999 | 9.4 | 128 | -0.7 | 39 | 130 | 387 |
| Not classified .............. | 8,346 | 19.0 | 69 | 153.0 | 11 | 27 | 73 |

NOTE: Totals reported for 1994 include imputed data to account for nonresponse. Calculations of percent change include only those institutions reporting in both 1994 and 1990 and are reported only for variables in which 70 percent or more of libraries reported for the item in both 1990 and 1994. FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to full-time enrollment. For calculation of percent change, actual FTE enrollments for 1990 and 1994 for institutions included were used in tabulations. Details for volumes held may not sum to totals due to rounding

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey, 1990 Academic Library Survey, and 1989-90 and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Surveys

## Differences in Volumes per FTE Enrollment

In 1994 the overall number of volumes per FTE enrollment was 78 and the median per institution was 57 (table 6). The numbers range widely by institution type going from 16 per FTE enrollment in public Associate of Arts institutions to 258 in private Research I and II institutions. The median per FTE enrollment per institution ranged from 18 in both public and private Associate of Arts institutions to 220 in private Research I and II institutions (table 6).

The number of volumes held per FTE enrollment ranges from 16 in public Associate of Arts institutions to 258 in private Research I and II institutions

Figure 7.-Percent distribution of volumes held at the end of the year and distribution of full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment by Carnegie Classification: 1994

## Distribution of volumes held



Distribution of FTE enrollment: 1994

| All Institutions of |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Higher Education......... | $100 \%$ |
| Research I and II........... | $23 \%$ |
| Doctoral I and II............. | $10 \%$ |
| Master's I and II............ | $24 \%$ |
| Baccalaureate I and II........ | $8 \%$ |
| Associate of Arts............ | $32 \%$ |
| Specialized................... | $4 \%$ |
| Not classified.................. | $1 \%$ |

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey, and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

## Distribution of Volumes by Type of Institution

As can be seen from figure 7 and table 7 over 40 percent of the total volumes held were held in Research I and II institutions. Traditionally research institutions have maintained the largest collections to serve the needs of graduate students and research faculty. Research I and II institutions enroll about 23 percent of the total FTE enrollment (figure 7). The Associate of Arts institutions, enrolling 32 percent of the FTE students, have only 7 percent of the volumes held.

## Number of Volumes Per Institution and Academic Library Standards

The mean number of volumes held per institution, ranges from 65,295 volumes in institutions with less than 1,500 students to over 909,655 in institutions with 5,000 or more (table 7). The 1995 Edition of Standards for College Libraries prepared by the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL)/ College Library Section (CLS) Standards Committee gives a formula for estimating the number of volumes needed. There is also a grading system based on the percent of the suggested number of volumes held by the institution. This formula suggests that the basic collection should have at least 85,000 volumes and that there be an additional 15 volumes per FTE enrollment and an additional 100 volumes per FTE faculty. In addition the formula states there should be 350 volumes per undergraduate major and minor field and additional allowances for each master's $(6,000)$, specialist $(3,000)$, and doctoral field $(6,000)$.

Thus for example, a baccalaureate institution with 1,000 FTE undergraduates, 10 major fields, and 50 faculty should have about 108,000 volumes. A masters level institution with 10,000 FTE enrollment, 250 faculty, 15 majors and 8 masters majors should have about 300,000 volumes. A doctoral granting institution with 20,000 students, 350 faculty, 15 majors and 10 masters and 8 Ph .D. fields should have about 509,000 volumes. One can see from these examples and the numbers in table 7 that smaller colleges are less likely on average to meet the standard, while Research universities easily exceed the standard.

The standards for Community Colleges awarding associate degrees are contained in the publication Standards for Community, Junior, and Technical College Learning Resource Programs, published in 1994 and prepared by a joint committee of the Association for Educational Communications and Technology (AECT) and the ACRL. The recommendations for number of volumes are directly linked to institution size and range from 30,000 for institutions under 1,000 to 170,000 for those with 17,000 to 19,000 FTE students. One can see from table 7 that the Associate of Arts institutions with

> Four year college library standards recommend a basic collection of 85,000 with additional volumes based on enrollment, faculty, number of majors, and types of degrees awarded

Two year college library standards recommend a minimum of 30,000 volumes for institutions with under 1,000 FTE enrollment and 170,000 for those with FTE enrollments of 17,000-19,000

a mean of 43,597 volumes held and a median of 36,500 volumes held just exceed the minimum standard for the smallest category of institutions those of 1,000 enrollment. The average FTE enrollment of Associate of Arts institutions in 1994 was 2,670 (calculated from appendix table A-4 and table 1).

Table 7.-Mean and median number of volumes per institution and percent distribution of volumes held by institution control, size, and Carnegie Classification: Fiscal Year (FY) 1994

| Institution characteristic | Total number of libraries | Total volumes at end of year in thousands | Per institution | Median per institution | ```Percent of total volumes held``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All. | 3,303 | 776,447 | 235,073 | 71,017 | 100\% |
| Control.............................. ${ }_{\text {c\| }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public................... | 1,548 | 449,763 | 290,544 | 65,956 | 57.9 |
| Private...................... | 1,755 | 326,685 | 186,145 | 77,700 | 42.1 |
| Size (FTE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500.................. | 1,754 | 114,527 | 65,295 | 37,413 | 14.8 |
| 1,500-4,999....................... | 984 | 147,965 | 150,371 | 85,491 | 19.1 |
| 5,000 or more.................... | 565 | 513,955 | 909,655 | 453,308 | 66.2 |
| Carnegie Classification (1994) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II................ | 125 | 336,562 | 2,692,497 | 2,164,156 | 43.3 |
| Doctoral I and II ................. | 110 | 87,077 | 791,608 | 692,386 | 11.2 |
| Master's I and IL................. | 518 | 153,341 | 296,025 | 234,122 | 19.7 |
| Baccalaureate I and II......... | 606 | 95,482 | 157,561 | 116,085 | 12.3 |
| Associate of Arts............... | 1,175 | 51,226 | 43,597 | 36,500 | 6.6 |
| Specialized....................... | 556 | 44,414 | 79,881 | 47,032 | 5.7 |
| Not classified.................... | 213 | 8,346 | 39,181 | 12,451 | 1.1 |

NOTE: Details for total volumes held may not sum to total due to rounding
SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey

## Academic Library Staff

The development of electronic information databases and automation of many library technical functions have resulted in the redefining of a profession and a change in the composition of staff in academic libraries. Some tasks, previously handled by professional librarians, have been assigned to newly emerging support staff occupations. At the same time, the evolution of information technology requires sophisticated librarians who can provide enhanced information services (Oberg, 1992; Park and Riggs, 1991; Cravey, 1991). Librarians have always served as the link between information resources and consumers of information. The academic librarian in the information age will spend more time instructing students in the skills of selecting and reviewing information from an ever expanding information base. In addition the library and librarians will maintain the essential infrastructure to accommodate the continuously evolving information environment.

Figure 8.--Percent distribution of academic library full-time-equivalent (FTE) staff by type of staff: 1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library.Survey

## Distribution of Full-Time-Equivalent (FTE) Library Staff by Type

In 1994, about 95,843 FTE staff were employed in academic libraries. ${ }^{2}$ Of the total FTE library staff, 28 percent were librarians or other professional staff. Forty-two percent were other paid staff and 30 percent were student assistants (figure 8).

The proportion of FTE library staff who are librarians and other professional staff does not vary greatly by type of library (see appendix table B-8). The proportion only ranges from 27 percent in Research I and II and Baccalaureate I and II institutions to 32 percent in Specialized institutions. By control, the proportion also does not vary much, being 29 percent in private and 27 percent in public institutions. However; smaller institutions were somewhat more likely to use student assistants than larger institutions. In institutions of less than 1,500 FTE enrollment 36 percent of the FTE library staff were student assistants, while in institutions of 5,000 FTE enrollment or more, 28 percent of the FTE library staff were student assistants (appendix table B-8).

## Full-Time-Equivalent (FTE) Staff per 1,000 FTE Enrollment

While the relative distribution of staff between professional, nonprofessional, and student assistants does not vary greatly by type of institution, the number of FTE library staff per 1,000 FTE enrollment, is considerably higher in private than in public institutions. For example, in private Research I and II institutions the number of FTE library staff per 1,000 FTE enrollment was almost double that of public Research I and II institutions ( 24.3 in private compared with 12.2 public) (table 8). Similarly in private Baccalaureate I and II institutions there were 14.6 FTE staff per 1,000 FTE enrollment, while in public Baccalaureate I and II institutions there were 8.1 FTE staff per 1,000 FTE enrollment.

## Change in Staff Over Time Since 1974

Figure 9 graphs FTE staff for the total (excluding student assistants but including contributed services staff), for other non-professional staff, and for librarians and other professional staff from 1974 to 1994. FTE data on student assistants is available and graphed only for the period after 1990.

[^1]Change in Staff Totals Excluding Student Assistants. Between 1967 (not shown on graph) and 1977 the total number of non-student assistant library FTE staff grew from 43,500 in 1967 to 57,087 in 1977 (Beasley, 1979). By 1988 this number was 67,300 and in 1990 the number was 69,359 . However, between 1990 and 1992 declines in library staffing were reported (Williams, 1994), with the total number including contributed services staff being 67,166 . The comparable number in 1994 was 67,433 (figure 9).

Figure 9.-Number of academic library full-time-equivalent(FTE) staff: 19741994


FTE student assistants

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Digest of Education Statistics, 1996, table 412; and Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey

Change in Staff Including Student Assistants. Comparable data on the number of FTE student assistants is available only since 1990. When the total library staff are considered (including student assistants) for institutions reporting in both 1990 and 1994, we see that the total numbers have declined by 0.1 percent since 1990 (table 8). When considered per 1,000 FTE enrollment there were declines of 5.9 percent between 1990 and 1994 (table 8). These declines occurred at both public and private institutions. The largest declines were at Baccalaureate I and II institutions and at Associate of Arts institutions.

Table 8.-Total academic library staff, number per 1,000 full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment, and percent change between 1990 and 1994 by control and Carnegie Classification

| Institutional characteristic | Total staff including student assistants |  | Total staff including student assistants per $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ FTE enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent <br> change <br> 1990- <br> 1994 | Meฉ» | Percent change 19901994 | Lower quartile | Median | Upper quartile |
| Total | 95,843 | -0.1\% | 9.6 | -5.9\% | 5.3 |  |  |
| Public... | 58,457 | -0.4 | 7.7 | -6.1 | 4.3 |  |  |
| Private........................ | 37,386 | -0.4 0.3 | 15.6 | -5.4 | 8.0 | 6.0 13 | 8.7 22.7 |
| Research I and II............ | 33,258 | -0.3 | 14.7 | -0.7 | 10.0 | 13.3 | 19.1 |
| Public .......................... | 22,159 | -1.2 | 12.2 | -1.3 | 9.5 | 11.9 | 14.4 |
| Private.................... | 11,099 | 2.0 | 24.3 | -0.2 | 17.1 | 23.2 | 32.7 |
| Doctoral I and II ......... | 10,444 | 3.6 | 10.7 | 1.6 | 7.8 | 9.6 | 14.1 |
| Public ................ | 6,538 | 3.3 | 9.0 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 9.0 | 10.6 |
| Master's I and II | 3,907 | 4.2 | 15.6 | 3.4 | 9.5 | 14.0 | 20.2 |
| Master s 1 and II ............ | 19,250 | -1.3 | 8.2 | -7.2 | 6.5 | 8.4 | 11.2 |
| Public......................... | 12,946 | -2.2 | 7.4 | -7.6 | 6.0 | 7.1 | 9.1 |
| Paccalaureate I and İ............... | 6,304 | 0.3 | 10.6 | -6.8 | 7.9 | 10.2 | 13.6 |
| Baccataureate I and II .... | 10,612 | -5.0 | 13.1 | -12.6 | 9.1 | 12.7 | 18.6 |
| Public......................... | 1,484 | * | 8.1 | -8.3 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.3 |
| Associate of Arts............. | 9,128 | -5.7 | 14.6 | -13.2 | 9.7 | 14.0 | 19.5 |
| Associate of Arts ............ | 13,646 | -1.4 | 4.3 | -11.5 | 3.3 | 4.9 | 7.5 |
| Priblic......................... | 12,484 | -1.1 | 4.3 | -11.4 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 6.3 |
| Private........................ | 1,162 | -4.9 | 7.5 | -11.6 | 4.1 | 8.7 | 15.8 |
|  | 6,853 | 6.6 | 18.2 | -2.0 | 11.7 | 20.5 | 39.3 |
| Private | 1,870 | 5.1 | 17.0 | -3.6 | 10.6 | 19.4 | 27.4 |
| Private....................... | 4,984 | 7.4 | 18.7 | -1.3 | 12.0 | 20.8 | 41.4 |
| Not classified | 1,779 | 67.3 | 14.7 | 60.6 | 4.3 | 9.0 | 21.7 |

*Less than .5 percent
SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey, 1990 Academic Library Survey, and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

## Changes in Library Staff Relative to Total Higher Education Staff and Enrollment

Changes in library staff since the mid-1970's have not kept pace with enrollment and lag behind the increases experienced by the total Institution of Higher Education (IHE) staff. Over the period since 1976 to 1993 (two dates for which comparable figures on total FTE IHE staff are available), the total full-timeequivalent number of staff in IHE's increased from 1.86 million to 2.60 million ( 36 percent) and FTE enrollment from 8.31 million to 10.36 million ( 25 percent). FTE faculty increased by 33 percent (calculated from Fall Staff in Postsecondary Institutions, 1993). In contrast, the FTE number of library staff (excluding student assistants) increased by 18 percent, and FTE library professional staff increased by 15 percent over about the same period (1976 to 1994) (figure10).

Figure 10.-Percent change in full-time-equivalent (FTE) academic library staff and institution of higher education FTE enrollment and staff : 19761993, 1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and Fall Staff in Postsecondary Institutions, 1993, figure 3.

## Academic Library Expenditures

In 1994, academic library operating expenditures totaled just over 4 billion dollars. Library expenditures cover a wide range of materials and activities ranging from salaries and wages to postage for document delivery. In recent years the categories have been revised to include additional categories for computer related items and for the expenditures for electronic information resources. The categories for which separate expenditure information was collected in 1994 are listed in table 9.

Figure 11.-Percent distribution of academic library operating expenditures by type and institution level: 1974 and 1994


Table 9.-Academic library operating expenditures in 1994, and percent distribution of expenditures in 1990 and 1994 by type of expenditures

| Operating Expenditures | Total amount in thousands 1994 | Amount per full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment 1994 | Percent distribution of total amount 1994 | Percent distribution of total amount 1990 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total............................................... | \$4,013,333 | \$402.18 | 100\% | 100\% |
| Salaries and wages............................ | 2,021,233 | 202.55 | 50.4 | 52.0 |
| Information resources...................... | 1,348,932 | 135.18 | 33.6 | 34.1 |
| Current serial subscriptions................. | 690,410 | 69.19 | 17.2 | 16.9 |
| Books and bound serials.................... | 442,495 | 44.34 | 11.0 | 12.3 |
| Microforms ...................................... | 62,421 | 6.26 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Audi-visual...................................... | 28,753 | 2.88 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Document delivery ........................... | 12,238 | 1.23 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Computer files/search services............ | 70,792 | 7.09 | 1.8 | 2.3 |
| Other information resources................ | 41,823 | 4.19 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| Furniture and equipment.................. | 55,915 | 5.60 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| Computer hardware and software...... | 128,128 | 12.84 | 3.2 | 1.9 |
| Bibliographic utilities, networks, consortia | 81,106 | 8.13 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| Preservation ..................................... | 46,554 | 4.67 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Other operating expenditures............ | 331,463 | 33.22 | 8.3 | 8.2 |

NOTE: Amounts used for percent distribution calculation includes imputed data in both 1990 and 1994. FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to full-time enrollment.
SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey; 1990 Academic Library Survey; and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey

## Distribution of Library Operating Expenditures

As one can see from figure 11 and table 9 salaries and wages account for half ( 50 percent) of all operating expenditures. The proportion of expenditures going to salaries and wages has declined over the last 20 years going from 60 percent in 1974 to 50 percent in 1994 (figure 11 and NCES Digest of Education Statistics, 1996, table 412).

The percent of the total going to salaries and wages did not vary substantially by public or private institutional control-being 52 percent in public and 48 in private institutions. However, less than 4 -year institutions have a higher proportion of their expenditures going for salaries ( 64 percent) than do the other types of institutions (figure 11 and appendix table B-10).

As one can see from table 9, the detailed expenditure distributions in 1994 and 1990 are very similar to each other. In 1994 the proportion of expenses going for new electronic resources and services was somewhat higher overall than in 1990. If one combines computer files and searches, computer hardware and software, and bibliographic utilities, networks and consortia, 7.0 percent went for these categories in 1994 and 5.3 in 1990 (table 9). Books and bound serials were a slightly smaller proportion of the total in 1994 than in 1990, and microforms a slightly larger proportion in 1994 (table 9).

## Distribution of Information Resources Expenditures

When one considers the distribution for information resources (figure 12), one sees the dominance of serials expenditures which accounted for fully half ( 51 percent) of information resources expenditures. A number of library expenditure analyses have noted the high proportion of information resource expenditures going to serials and that unit costs have increased over the last ten years. Statistics reported for research libraries by the Association of Research Librarians (ARL) indicated that between 1986 and 1995 ARL libraries doubled expenditures for serials while buying 8 percent fewer titles (Kyrillidou, Maxwell and Stubbs, 1996).

The percent of operating expenditures going to salaries and wages was 60 percent in 1974 and 50 percent in 1994

The percent of expenditures going to new electronic resources and services was about 7.0 percent in 1994 and 5.3 percent in 1990

## Serial

expenditures account for onehalf of information resource expenditures

Figure 12.-Distribution of academic library expenditures for information resources: 1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey

## $\mathbb{C}$ hange in Total Operating Expenditures and Operating Expenditures Per Full-Time-Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment

In current dollars, total operating expenditures have gone from $\$ 1.09$ billion in 1974 to $\$ 4.01$ billion in 1994. In constant 1994 dollars, total operating expenditures have gone from $\$ 3.17$ billion to $\$ 4.01$ billion (tabulated from NCES Library Statistics of Colleges and Universities, various years and IPEDS Academic Library Survey). Public academic libraries had 60 percent of the expenditures and private 40 percent. Research I and II institutions account for 40 percent of the total expenditures. Associate of Arts institutions account for 11 percent. Between 1990 and 1994 overall library expenditures increased by 10.7 percent in constant dollars (table 10 ).

Expenditures Per Full-Time-Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment. In constant dollars, expenditures per FTE enrollment were \$406 in 1974 and \$401 in 1994. The lowest amount per FTE enrollment occurred in 1981 when constant dollar expenditures were $\$ 345$ and in 1990 when expenditures were to $\$ 367$ (figure 13).

Figure 13.-Academic library operating expenditures per full-timeequivalent (FTE) enrollment in constant (1994) dollars: 1974-1994


NOTE: Constant dollars calculated based on consumer price index as published in 1996 US Statistical Abstract, table 745.

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES),
Digest of Education Statistics, 1996, table 412; and Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library
Survey and 1993-94
Fall Enrollment

Table 10.-Total and per full-time-equivalent (FTE) academic library operating expenditures, and percent change between 1990 and 1994 in constant dollars by control and Carnegie Classification

| Institutional characteristics | Total amount |  | Per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount in Thousands | Percent change 1990-94 in constant dollars | Mean | Percent change 1990-94 <br> in constant dollars | Lower quartile | Median | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Upper } \\ & \text { quartile } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total......................... | \$4,013,332 | 10.7\% | \$401 | 4.7\% | \$169 | \$291 | \$503 |
| Public..................... | 2,441,579 | 8.0 | 322 | 2.1 | 137 | 209 | 313 |
| Private.................... | 1,571,753 | 15.8 | 658 | 9.5 | 253 | 399 | 742 |
| Research I and II ....... | 1,622,321 | 12.2 | 715 | 12.0 | 474 | 621 | 920 |
| Public..................... | 1,033,200 | 10.9 | 571 | 11.3 | 447 | 552 | 663 |
| Private.................... | 589,100 | 14.6 | 1,288 | 11.7 | 834 | 1,164 | 1,795 |
| Doctoral I and II....... | 470,560 | 9.6 | 480 | 8.2 | 316 | 444 | 671 |
| Public..................... | 287,930 | 9.5 | 395 | 7.4 | 304 | 356 | 470 |
| Private.................... | 182,630 | 9.8 | 728 | 10.6 | 455 | 649 | 817 |
| Master's I and II ........ | 750,136 | 5.5 | 319 | -0.1 | 251 | 307 | 393 |
| Public..................... | 527,530 | 1.9 | 300 | -3.1 | 239 | 290 | 347 |
| Private.................... | 222,600 | 16.7 | 373 | 8.6 | 270 | 340 | 430 |
| Baccalaureate I and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II.............................. | 369,690 | 14.9 | 457 | 6.1 | 275 | 389 | 550 |
| Public..................... | 54,040 | 9.1 | 295 | -0.8 | 217 | 274 | 358 |
| Private.................... | 315,650 | 15.9 | 505 | 7.6 | 293 | 416 | 591 |
| Associate of Arts ........ | 443,770 | 7.3 | 140 | -3.3 | 103 | 148 | 215 |
| Public..................... | 414,190 | 6.4 | 142 | -4.3 | 110 | 151 | 205 |
| Private.................... | 29,579 | 29.6 | 191 | 20.9 | 96 | 190 | 318 |
| Specialized................ | 297,180 | 18.9 | 789 | 7.6 | 338 | 685 | 1673 |
| Public..................... | 94,701 | 14.9 | 859 | 2.4 | 396 | 703 | 1363 |
| Private.................... | 202,480 | 22.1 | 760 | 11.3 | 336 | 680 | 1736 |
| Not Classified ............ | 59,677 | 27.7 | 493 | 23.6 | 135 | 244 | 805 |

NOTE: Amounts reported for 1994 include imputed data to account for nonresponse. Calculations of percent change include only those institutions reporting in both 1994 and 1990 and are reported only for variables in which 70 percent or more of libraries reported for the item in both 1990 and 1994. FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to full-time enrollment. For calculation of percent change, actual FTE enrollments for 1990 and 1994 for institutions included were used in tabulations. Details for amounts in thousands may not sum to totals due to rounding. Constant dollars calculated based on consumer price index as published in 1996 US Statistical Abstract, table 745.

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS); 1994 Academic Library Survey, 1990 Academic Library Survey, and 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey


Between 1990 and 1994 overall expenditures per FTE enrollment increased by 4.7 percent in constant dollars. However, Associate of Arts institutions experienced a decline of 3.3 percent over the period (table 10).

Expenditures per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment ranged from $\$ 142$ per FTE enrollment in public Associate of Arts institutions to $\$ 1,288$ in private Research I and Research II institutions (table 10). Expenditures per FTE enrollment in private institutions were about double those in public institutions (\$658 and \$322 respectively). Median expenditures per institution also showed an almost twofold difference between public and private institutions. The median expenditures per FTE were \$291 overall and \$209 in public and \$399 in private institutions (table 10).

## Academic Library Operating Expenditures as a Percent of Total Educational and General (E\&G) Expenditures

Examination of the data for the total group of academic libraries for the period 1974-1994 shows that the percentage of total institutional E\&G expenditures spent by libraries declined from 3.9 in 1974 to 2.9 in 1994 (figure 14).

Figure 14.-Academic library operating expenditures as a percent of total Education and General (E\&G) expenditures: 1974-1994


SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Digest of Education. Statistics, 1996, table 412; and Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), 1994 Academic Library Survey and 1993-94

Table 11.-Academic library operating expenditures as a percent of total Education and General (E\&G) expenditures; and percent change between 1990 and 1994 by control and Carnegie Classification

| Institutional characteristics | ```Percent of total E&G expenditures 1994``` | Percent change in percent of total E\&G 1990-94 | Lower quartile | Median | Upper quartile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total......................... | 2.9\% | -2.3\% | 2.0\% | 2.8\% | 3.9\% |
| Public..................... | 2.7 | -2.8 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| Private..................... | 3.1 | -1.8 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| Research I and II....... | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| Public..................... | 2.8 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 |
| Private..................... | 3.0 | -0.3 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
| Doctoral I and II........ | 3.5 | -2.5 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 4.1 |
| Public..................... | 3.4 | -1.3 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.7 |
| Private..................... | 3.7 | -4.7 | 2.2 | 3.7 | 4.3 |
| Master's I and II....... | 3.1 | -6.6 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| Public..................... | 3.3 | -7.5 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 3.9 |
| Private.................... | 2.7 | -2.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| Baccalaureate I and |  |  |  |  |  |
| II.............................. | 3.2 | -3.4 | 2.4 | 3.0 | 3.7 |
| Public..................... | 3.4 | -5.6 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 4.1 |
| Private.................... | 3.2 | -3.0 | 2.3 | 2.9 | $3.6{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Associate of Arts ........ | 2.2 | -9.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 3.1 |
| Public..................... | 2.2 | -9.6 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| Private.................... | 2.0 | -0.7 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| Specialized................ | 2.5 | -2.9 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 8.5 |
| Public..................... | 1.5 | -5.1 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.3 |
| Private.................... | 3.7 | -2.0 | 2.6 | 4.6 | 9.1 |
| Not classified ............. | 4.2 | 21.5 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 7.7 |

NOTE: Figures for 1994 include imputed data to account for nonresponse. Calculations of percent change include only those institutions reporting in both 1994 and 1990 and are reported only for variables in which 70 percent or more of libraries reported for the item in both 1990 and 1994. Actual E\&G expenditures for 1990 and 1994 for institutions included were used in calculations.
SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS); 1994 Academic Library Survey, 1990 Academic Library Survey, and 1990 and 1994 Finance Surveys

Using the set of institutions reporting in both 1990 and 1994, we see that there was a decline of 2 percent in the percent of the total $E \& G$ expenditures spent by academic libraries over the 1990-94 period (table 11). A decline was experienced by all categories of libraries except those in the category public Research I and II and the "not classified" category (table 11).

As part of the ongoing development of standards for academic libraries, the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL) has established standards on the percent of total institution expenditures that should go to academic libraries for two of the three categories of academic libraries. The Standards for Community, Junior, and Technical Learning Resource Programs and the Standards for College Libraries both established a standard that academic libraries should receive 6 percent of their university's total budget. The Standards for University Libraries does not mention a specific percentage, however the 6 percent goal is often also cited for this group as a target. Data on research libraries has shown that this goal has never been reached and in fact that that the share has declined over the period of 1982-1992 (Goudy, 1993; Cummings et. al. 1992).

## Summary/Conclusion

In the first half of the 1990s, a time of rapid change in methods of information dissemination, academic library circulation increased modestly. There were much larger relative increases in the use of interlibrary loans reflecting the increasingly open computerized access to catalogues. While the number of book volumes held in collections continued to increase, the number per FTE enrollment has not increased since a peak reached in 1987. Information resources such as computer files and films and videos had the highest ratios of items added to items held reflecting the relative newness of these categories of materials and building of collections in these areas. There were large differences in the number of volumes per FTE enrollment between institutions by Carnegie Classification. Volumes per FTE enrollment ranged from 16 in Associate of Arts institutions to 148 in Research I and II institutions. Associate of Arts institutions have 7 percent of the volumes while having almost one third of FTE enrollment ( 32 percent).

In a period of increasing library automation and change in library staff roles, the actual total number of FTE academic library staff declined slightly between 1990 and 1994 (reflecting a trend also observed in 1992; Rossi and Daugherty, 1997). The number per 1,000 FTE enrollment declined by almost 6 percent. While declines in the total number of academic library staff have occurred only recently, over the last 20 years, increases in academic library staff have not kept pace with those of the total IHE staff. The number of professional staff in libraries has remained largely stable over the period between 1989 and 1994.

Academic library operating expenditures totaled 4 billion dollars in 1994. The distributions of operating expenditures by category of expenditure in 1990 and 1994 were very similar except for a small increase in the proportion going to electronic related services and microforms and small declines in the proportion going to salaries and wages and book volumes. Serials expenditures continue to dominate the distribution of information resources expenditures. The amount of expenditures per FTE enrollment in constant dollars was about the same in 1994 as 20 years earlier, in 1974, but increased over 1990 by about 5 percent. Academic library expenditures as a percent of total institution expenditures have ranged between 3.9 and 2.9 percent between 1974 and 1994, falling short of the 6 percent ACRL recommended and the percentage appears to be decreasing over time. There were large differences in the expenditures per FTE enrollment by Carnegie Classification. For example, expenditures per FTE enrollment were \$140 in Associate of Arts institutions and \$715 in Research I and II institutions. Similarly, the number of FTE staff per 1,000 FTE enrollments was 4.3 in Associate of Arts institutions and 14.7 in Research I and II institutions.

These data indicate that the changes in information technology were beginning to have small impacts on academic library statistics in areas of types of services, staff numbers, collection additions, and distribution of expenditures. Future surveys will provide more information on the continued extent of these changes.
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## Appendix A: Methodology

## Scope

The Academic Libraries survey was mailed in August 1994 to all institutions of higher education (IHE's) and to other postsecondary institutions in the United States that had one or more instructional programs of 4 years or more. While the response among the 3,639 institutions of higher education (IHE's) was 94 percent, the response from the other postsecondary institutions (about 300) was less than 50 percent. Due to low response, we cannot produce statistically acceptable estimates for this group of other postsecondary institutions. Thus the Academic Library file and this report are limited to Institutions of Higher Education (IHE's).

Of the 3,639 higher education institutions, there were 132 institutions that did not have their own library but shared a library with one or more of 70 other institutions. Those 132 institutions were excluded from the survey. There were also 204 institutions that were found to be out of scope because they did not have an academic library as defined by the survey. Thus there were 3,303 academic libraries in the 50 states and District of Columbia.

An Academic Library is defined as an entity at a postsecondary institution that provides all of the following:

- Organized collection of printed or other materials, or a combination thereof;
- A staff trained to provide and interpret such materials as required to meet the informational, cultural, recreational, or educational needs of clientele;
- An established schedule in which services of the staff are available to clientele;
- The physical facilities necessary to support such a collection, staff, and schedule.

This definition includes libraries that are part of learning resource centers. The library must be operated by a postsecondary education institution.

## Data Collection Procedures

The Academic Library Survey data are collected and processed by the Census Bureau for NCES. In 1990, an NCES/IPEDS academic library survey improvement project was begun with the assistance of the National Commission on Libraries and Information Science (NCLIS) and the American Library Association's Office of Research and Statistics (ALA-ORS). The project identified a librarian in each state to work with the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) Coordinators in submitting library data to NCES. For the 1990, 1992, and 1994 data
collections many of those library representatives took major responsibility for collecting data in their state. Others were available to promote prompt responses from librarians and to assist in problem resolution when anomalies were discovered in completed questionnaires.

The project also assisted NCES in developing microcomputer software packages prepared for use by states in reporting library data to NCES. The software package was named Input and Data Editing for Academic Library Statistics (IDEALS). Academic librarians within each state completed hard copy forms as in the past and returned them to the state's library representative or the IPEDS Coordinator. States were given the option of submitting the library forms to NCES, but were encouraged to enter those data into IDEALS and submit the data on diskette to NCES.

The IDEALS package features some internal consistency edit checks as well as a few range checks and summation checks. Library representatives at the state level could run edit/error reports and make corrections before submitting the data on diskette to NCES.

## Editing and Imputation

The IDEALS computer program described above includes many edit checks providing some warnings as the data are being keyed and provides an edit/error report after the data have been keyed. Examples of these edit checks are listed below:
(1) Summations--reported totals are compared with the sums of the constituent data items. If they are not equal, an error message is generated.
(2) Relational edit checks--the program compares data entries from one section of the questionnaire with date entries from another section of the questionnaire for consistency. For example, if books and bound serials were added during the fiscal year the program would expect some expenditure to be reported for books and bound serials. If one is reported without the other an error message is generated. Another example is the number of volumes of print materials added during the fiscal year cannot exceed the total number of volumes held at the end of the fiscal year.
(3) Range checks--For example, if the average salary of librarians is less than $\$ 20,000$ or greater than 100,000 , an error message is generated. If any of the collections data, except for volumes held at the end of the year is greater than $1,000,000$, an error message is generated. If the reported hours of service is less than 10 hours per week or greater than 168 hours per week, an error message is generated.

When probable errors were identified by the IDEALS edit checks, state or Census Bureau personnel contacted the institution to resolve the problem. After the data were received by NCES from every state, the data files were merged and general edits and imputations were performed. Some examples follow.

- If a total was blank or zero, but there were one or more positive subtotals the total was changed to equal the sum of the subtotals.
- If prior year (1992) data were available, the 1992 responses were used for imputation.
- If prior year data were unavailable, for purposes of imputation, the higher education file was divided into 16 imputation classes. The classes were based upon the highest level of degree (Doctor's, Master's, Bachelor's, and Associate), and control and size of institution. The four control/size imputation categories were: public, less than median number of degrees; public, equal to or greater than the median; private, less than the median; private, equal to or greater than the median.
- To calculate the imputed value for a subtotal, when the total was reported, the average estimate was calculated across the set of respondents in each class including ones for which the total was obtained by adding the subtotals, but excluding those for which the sum of the subtotals did not originally equal the total. The average subtotal value was divided by the average total value within each imputation class to obtain an average proportion. The average proportion was multiplied by the reported total to obtain the imputed subtotal value.
- If for total staff (Part B, line 6) and total operating expenditures (Part C, line 19), the total and all subtotals were blank or zero, they were imputed by using the average by imputation class. The imputed total was then ailocated to subtotals as described above.
- Values were imputed for all data items except contributed services staff (Part B, line 4) and employee fringe benefits (Part C, line 23). These categories were applicable to only a few institutions.


## Unit and Item Nonresponse

Of the 3,303 college and university libraries, 209 or 6.3 percent were complete nonrespondents. Tables A-1 and A-2 present further information on response rates. The first three rows of table A present the number of academic libraries, and the number and percent of nonrespondents and respondents at the national level by level and control of institution. In addition, the remaining rows show the number and percent of libraries responding for each item at the national level. Table B presents the distribution of the set of complete nonrespondents by libraries by state and by level and control of institution. Table A-3 gives the number of academic libraries by state, level, and control of institution. The data user should be especially cautious in using data at a level of detail where the nonresponse rate was 30 percent or greater.

## Classifications Used in the report

Various tables in the body of this report present the data by control (public, private); size of FTE enrollment (less than 1,$500 ; 1,500$ to 4,$999 ; 5,000$ or more); and the 1994 Carnegie classification. For table presentation, "level I" and "level II" of the Carnegie Classifications were combined. The Carnegie Classification categories used are as follows: Research I and II; Doctoral I and II; Master's I and II; Baccalaureate I and II; Associate of Arts; and Specialized. In addition there were 213 institutions that did not have a Carnegie Classification in 1994 and these were put into a not classified category (The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching, A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, 1994 Edition, Princeton, N. J.). Appendix B detailed tables also present data by level of highest offering (doctor's, master's, bachelor's, and less than 4-year).
Table A-1.-- Number and percent of responding academic libraries by item and by level and control of institution: 1994

|  | Total higher education Institutions | Pct of total responding | 4-year institutions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Less than 4-year |  | Public |  | Private |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Total 4-year Institutions |  | Doctor's highest level of degree |  | Master's highest level of degree |  | Bachelor's highest level of degree |  | Total responding | Pct of total responding | Total responding | Pct of total responding | Total responding | Pct of total responding |
|  |  |  | Total responding | Pct of total responding | Total responding | Pct of total responding | Total responding | Pct of total responding | Total responding | Pct of total responding |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All institutions | $\begin{array}{r} 3.303 \\ 209 \\ 3.094 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 6.3 \\ 93.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.032 \\ 134 \\ 1.898 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 6.6 \\ 93.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 514 \\ 40 \\ 474 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 7.8 \\ 92.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 855 \\ 59 \\ 796 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 6.9 \\ 93.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 660 \\ 32 \\ 628 \end{array}$ | $100.0 \%$4.8 | 1.27175 | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | 1.54853 | $100.0 \%$3.4 | 1.7551.56 | $100.0 \%$8.9 |
| Non-responding libraries.. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responding libraries .......................... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95.2 | 1.196 | 94.1 | 1.495 | 96.6 | 1,599 | 91.1 |
| Part B -Library staff Librarians/professional staff All other paid staff Contributed services staff Student assistants/all funding Total FTE staff$\qquad$$\qquad$$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.024 \\ & 2.974 \\ & 2,711 \\ & 2.975 \\ & 2.926 \end{aligned}$ | 91.6 | 1,863 | 91.7 | 463 | 90.1 | 782 | 91.5 | 618 | 93.6 | 1,161 | 91.3 | 1,474 | 95.2 | 1.550 | 88.3 |
|  |  | 90.0 | 1,841 | 90.6 | 462 | 89.9 | 770 | 90.1 | 609 | 92.3 | 1.133 | 89.1 | 1,466 | 94.7 | 1.508 | 85.9 |
|  |  | 82.1 | 1,687 | 83.0 | 424 | 8, 2.5 | 710 | 83.0 | 553 | 83.8 | 1.024 | 80.6 | 1,330 | 85.9 | 1.381 | 78.7 |
|  |  | 90.1 | 1,838 | 90.5 | 457 | 88.9 | 777 | 90.9 | 604 | 91.5 | 1.137 | 89.5 | 1,446 | 93.4 | 1.529 | 87.1 |
|  |  | 88.6 | 1.790 | 88.1 | 451 | 87.7 | 742 | 86.8 | 597 | 90.5 | 1.136 | 89.4 | 1,413 | 91.3 | 1.513 | 86.2 |
| Part C-Library operating expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Librarians/professlonal stoff .............. | 2.9542.8072.788 | 89.485.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.815 \\ 1.722 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.3 \\ & 84.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 462 \\ & 448 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.9 \\ & 87.2 \end{aligned}$ | 758788743 | $\begin{aligned} & 88.7 \\ & 85.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 595 \\ & 546 \end{aligned}$ | 90.282.7 | 1.1391.085 | $\begin{aligned} & 89.6 \\ & 85.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.451 \\ & 1.429 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93.7 \\ & 92.3 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5031.378 | 85.678.5 |
| All other paid staff ............................. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student assistants ............................. |  | 84.4 | 1.755 | 86.4 | 451 | 87.7 | 743 | 88.9 | 561 | 85.0 | 1.033 | 81.3 | 1,356 | 87.6 | 1.432 | 81.6 |
| Books and other print materials (info resources) $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,047 \\ & 3.009 \end{aligned}$ | 92.291.1 | 1,869 | 92.0 | 468 | 91.190.3 | 786 | 91.9 | 615 | 93.2 | 1.178 | 92.7 | 1,485 | 95.9 | 1.562 89.0 |  |
| Current serials ................................... |  |  | 1.852 | 91.1 | 464 |  | 780 | 91.2 | 608 | 92.1 | 1.157 | 91.0 | 1,476 | 95.3 | 1.533 | 87.4 |
| Microforms ... | 2.699 | 81.7 | 1.646 | 81.0 | 394 | 76.7 | 709 | 82.9 | 543 | 82.3 | 1.053 | 82.8 | 1.339 | 86.5 | 1.360 | 77.5 |
| Audlovisual materials ...................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2.700 \\ & 2.745 \end{aligned}$ | 81.7 | 1.616 | 79.5 | 390 | 75.9 | 674 | 78.8 | 552 | 83.6 | 1.084 | 85.3 | 1,323 | 85.5 | 1.377 | 78.5 |
| Computer files/search services .......... |  | 83.1 | 1.676 | 82.5 | 419 | 815 | 697 | 81.5 | 560 | 84.8 | 1.069 | 84.1 | 1.339 | 86.5 | 1,406 | 80.1 |
| Document dellvery/interlibrary loan .. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.745 \\ & 2.548 \end{aligned}$ | 77.1 | 1.601 | 78.8 | 405 | 78.8 | 671 | 78.5 | 525 | 79.5 | 947 | 74.5 | 1,239 | 80.0 | 1.309 | 74.6 |
| Other Information resources ............... | 2.548 2.415 | 73.1 | 1.490 | 73.3 | 385 | 74.9 | 604 | 70.6 | 501 | 75.9 | 925 | 72.8 | 1.173 | 75.8 | 1,242 | 70.8 |
| Preservation. | 2.712 <br> 2.790 | 82.1 | 1.716 | 84.4 | 445 | 86.6 | 723 | 84.6 | 548 | 83.0 | 996 | 78.4 | 1.327 | 85.7 | 1.385 | 78.9 |
| Furniture and equipment ................... |  | 84.585.0 | 1.700 | 83.7 | 426 | 82.9 | 711 | 83.2 | 563 | 85.3 | 1.090 | 85.8 | 1,375 | 88.8 | 1,415 | 80.6 |
| Computer hardware and software .... Bibliographic utilities, networks, | 2807 |  | 1.718 | 84.5 | 441 | 85.8 | 717 | 83.9 | 560 | 84.8 | 1.089 | 85.7 | 1,383 | 89.3 | 1,424 | 81.1 |
| consortia .................................... | $\begin{aligned} & 2.776 \\ & 2.862 \\ & 2.801 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.0 \\ & 86.6 \\ & 84.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.731 \\ & 1.766 \\ & 1.713 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.2 \\ & 86.9 \\ & 84.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 442 \\ & 440 \\ & 429 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.0 \\ & 85.5 \\ & 83.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 731 \\ & 741 \\ & 726 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.5 \\ & 86.7 \\ & 84.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 558 \\ & 585 \\ & 558 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.5 \\ & 88.6 \\ & 84.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.045 \\ & 1.096 \\ & 1.088 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 .2 \\ & 86.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1,347 <br> 1,387 | $\begin{aligned} & 87.0 \\ & 89.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,429 \\ & 1,475 \\ & 1,426 \end{aligned}$ | 81.4 |
| All other operating expenditures ........ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 84.0 |
| Total operating expenditures ............. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 85.6 | 1.375 | 88.8 |  | 81.3 |
| Part D:Library collections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books/serials cataloged vols. added | $\begin{aligned} & 2,957 \\ & 3,021 \end{aligned}$ | 89.591.5 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.835 \\ 1,866 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.3 \\ & 91.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 459 \\ & 464 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89.3 \\ & 90.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 775 \\ & 783 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90.6 \\ & 91.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 601 \\ & 619 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91.1 \\ & 93.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.122 \\ & 1.155 \end{aligned}$ | 88.390.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,445 \\ & 1.462 \end{aligned}$ | 93.394.4 | 1.5121.559 | 86.288.8 |
| Books/serials cataloged vols. eofy ..... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Books/serials cataloged titles added | 2.523 | 76.476.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.509 \\ 1.498 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74.3 \\ & 73.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 344 \\ & 338 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.9 \\ & 65.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 659 \\ & 658 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77.1 \\ & 77.0 \end{aligned}$ | 506502 | 76.776.1 | 1.0141.032 | 79.881.2 | 1.2301.234 | 79.579.7 | 1,293 | 73.773.8 |
| Books/serials cataloged titiles eofy ..... | 2.5302.250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.296 |  |
| Govt. documents no. of units added |  | 68.1 | 1.417 | 69.7 | 352 | 68.572.4 | 602 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.4 \\ & 72.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 463 \\ & 475 \end{aligned}$ | 70.272.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 833 \\ & 857 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 65.5 \\ & 67.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,073 \\ & 1,116 \end{aligned}$ | 69.372.1 | 1.177 | 67.168.7 |
| Govt. documents no. of units eofy ..... | 2.3221.899 | $\begin{aligned} & 70.3 \\ & 57.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,465 \\ & 1.088 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 72.1 \\ & 53.5 \end{aligned}$ | 372 |  | 618 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,2061.0291.053 |  |
| Govt. documents no. of tilles added |  |  |  |  | 228 | 44.4 | 461 | 53.9 | 399 | 60.5 | 811 | 63.8 | 870 | 56.2 |  | 68.7 58.6 |
| Govt. documents no. of titles eofy ..... | 1.849 2.928 | $\begin{aligned} & 57.5 \\ & 59.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.088 \\ & 1.114 \end{aligned}$ | 53.5 54.8 | 235392 | $\begin{aligned} & 45.7 \\ & 76.3 \end{aligned}$ | 472 | 55.2 | 407 | 61.7 | 835 | 65.7 | 896 | 57.9 | 1.053 | 60.079.8 |
| Current serials pald/unpaid added ... | 2.728 | 82.690.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,646 \\ & 1.830 \end{aligned}$ | 81.0 |  |  | 693 | 81.1 | 561 | 85.0 | 1.082 | 85.1 | 1.328 | 85.8 | 1.400 |  |
| Current serials paid/unpaid eoty ....... | 2.992 |  |  | 90.1 | 452 | 87.9 | 772 | $90.3$ | 606 | 91.8 | 1.162 | 91.4 | 1.454 | 93.9 | 1.538 | 87.6 |
| Current serials no. of titles added ....... | 2.301 | 69.775.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.344 \\ & 1.479 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66.1 \\ & 72.8 \end{aligned}$ | 300 | 58.4 | 575 | 67.3 | 469 | 71.1 | 957 | 75.3 | 1,114 | 72.0 | 1,187 | 67.6 |
| Current serials no. of titles eofy .......... | 2.500 |  |  |  | 334 |  | 636 | 74.4 | 509 | 77.1 | 1.021 | 80.3 | 1.210 | 78.2 | 1,290 | 73.5 |
| Microforms no. of units added ........... | 2.669 | 80.8 | 1.676 | 82.5 | 422 | 82.1 | 718 | 84.0 | 536 | 81.2 | 993 | 78.1 | 1,330 | 85.9 | 1,339 | 76.3 |
| Microforms no. of units eofy .............. | 2.813 | 85.2 | 1.759 | 86.6 | 445 | 86.6 | 743 | 86.9 | 571 | 86.5 | 1,054 | 82.9 | 1,395 | 90.1 | 1,418 | 80.8 |
| Microforms no. of tithes added ........... | 1.863 | 56.4 | 1.080 | 53.1 | 242 | 47.1 | 460 | 53.8 | 378 | 57.3 | 783 | 61.6 | 893 | 57.7 | 970 | 55.3 |

Table A-1.-- Number and percent of responding academic libraries by liem and by level and control of institution: 1994 continued


Table A-3.-- Number of academic libraries by state, level, and control of institution: 1994

| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathbf{Z}} \\ & \hline \mathbf{L} \end{aligned}$ | 足 |
| :---: | :---: |
| O |  |
|  |  |
|  | 8- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey

Table A-4.-Full-time-equivalent(FTE) enrollment and Education and General expenditures (E\&G) in institutions of higher education (IHE's) in the 50 states and DC having academic libraries by control and Carnegie Classification: percent distribution and percent change 1990-94

| Institutional characteristics | Full-time-equivalent enrollment |  |  | Education and General Expenditures (E\&G) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent distribution | Percent change 1990-94 | Amount in millions | Percent distribution | Percent change 1990-94 in constant dollars |
| Total ......................... | 9,978,955 | 100.0\% | 6.6\% | \$138,800 | 100.0\% | 13.6\% |
| Public..................... | 7,588,867 | 76.0 | 6.2 | 88,850 | 64.0 | 11.5 |
| Private.................... | 2,390,088 | 24.0 | 8.0 | 49,960 | 36.0 | 17.7 |
| Research I and II...... | 2,268,209 | 22.7 | 0.6 | 56,530 | 40.7 | 9.8 |
| Public...................... | 1,810,795 | 18.1 | 0.2 | 36,850 | 26.5 | 7.2 |
| Private..................... | 457,414 | 4.6 | 2.4 | 19,670 | 14.2 | 14.9 |
| Doctoral I and II........ | 979,728 | 9.8 | 2.2 | 13,420 | 9.7 | 12.5 |
| Public..................... | 728,837 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 8,423 | 6.1 | 11.2 |
| Private.................... | 250,891 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 4,993 | 3.6 | 15.2 |
| Master's I and II........ | 2,352,781 | 23.6 | 6.0 | 24,200 | 17.4 | 13.1 |
| Public..................... | 1,756,036 | 17.6 | 5.3 | 16,020 | 11.5 | 10.2 |
| Private.................... | 596,745 | 6.0 | 7.9 | 8,187 | 5.9 | 20.1 |
| Baccalaureate I and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II.............................. | 808,188 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 11,460 | 8.3 | 18.9 |
| Public..................... | 183,121 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 1,575 | 1.1 | 15.7 |
| Private.................... | 625,066 | 6.3 | 9.9 | 9,887 | 7.1 | 19.5 |
| Associate of Arts ........ | 3,072,302 | 30.8 | 15.2 | 19,900 | 14.3 | 19.8 |
| Public..................... | 2,917,085 | 29.2 | 11.5 | 18,420 | 13.3 | 19.3 |
| Private.................... | 155,217 | 1.6 | 11.0 | 1,472 | 1.1 | 30.6 |
| Specialized................ | 376,808 | 3.8 | 16.7 | 11,880 | 8.6 | 22.2 |
| Public..................... | 110,304 | 1.1 | 11.8 | 6,341 | 4.6 | 21.0 |
| Private..................... | 266,504 | 2.7 | 18.9 | 5,544 | 4.0 | 24.0 |
| Not Classified ............ | 120,940 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1,421 | 1.0 | -4.8\% |

NOTE: FTE enrollment and E\&G expenditures are only for those institutions ( 3,303 ) of higher education having academic libraries. Calculations of percent change include only those institutions reporting to library survey in both 1994 and 1990. FTE enrollment is calculated by taking one-third of part-time enrollment and adding the amount to fulltime enrollment. Constant dollars calculated based on consumer price index as published in 1996 US Statistical Abstract, table 745. Details may not sum to totals due to rounding.

SOURCE: United States Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Integrated Postsecondary Data Systems (IPEDS); 1994 Academic Library Survey, 1990 Academic Library Survey, 1993-94 Fall Enrollment Survey, 1993-94 Finance Survey

Appendix B: Detailed Tables
b3
Table B-1.-- Total circulation and interlibrary loan transactions in academic libraries by control, level, size, and

| Institutional characteristic | Total number of librarles | Circulation |  | Interlibrary loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General collection | Reserve collection | Loans provided to other librarles | Loans recelved from other libraries |
| All higher education institutions ' | 3,303 | 183,123,172 | 48,380,305 | 8,761,065 | 6,344, 154 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Private $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 1,548 1.755 | $\begin{array}{r} 127,306,796 \\ 55,816,376 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30,926,485 \\ & 17,453,820 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.803,093 \\ & 2.957 .972 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.931 .950 \\ & 2.412,204 \end{aligned}$ |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ........ | 2,032 | 156,053,685 | 40,633,627 | 8,185,248 | 5.700.084 |
| Doctor's ........................... | 514 | 106,104.180 | 26,255,633 | 5.704,908 | 3,395.760 |
| Master's ........................... | 855 | 37,318,173 | 10,352.413 | 1.877,351 | 1,667.389 |
| Bachelor's ........................ | 660 | 12,459,649 | 3,978.749 | 593,503 | 630,671 |
| Less than 4-year ................... | 1.271 | 27.069.487 | 7,746,677 | 575,817 | 644,070 |
| Size (FIE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 ..................... | 1,754 | 23.929.857 | 7.196.739 | 1.208, 148 | 1.156.986 |
| 1,500 to 4,999 .......................... | 984 | 37.841.618 | 11,077.578 | 1,878,825 | 1.703.113 |
| 5,000 or more ....................... | 565 | 121,351,698 | 30.105,988 | 5,674,093 | 3,484,055 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II ................... | 125 | 71.256,661 | 16.095.411 | 3,349,195 | 1.753.439 |
| Doctoral I and II ...................... | 110 | 19.193,662 | 5,425,177 | 1,176.469 | 876,187 |
| Master's I and II ..................... | 518 | 35.972.284 | 9.548.147 | 1,849,229 | 1,531.512 |
| Baccalaureate I and II .......... | 606 | 16.758,631 | 5.316.295 | 904,479 | 937.303 |
| Assoclate of Arts .................. | 1.175 | 26.239,848 | 7.727.923 | 555.925 | 607.393 |
| Specialzed ............................ | . 556 | 10,918,603 | 3.430.391 | 816,236 | 490.559 |
| Not classified ....................... | 213 | 2,783,482 | 836.959 | 109.532 | 147.761 |

[^2]Table B-2.-- Public service hours per typical week and mumber of libraries by category of public service hours per typlcal week in academic libraries by confrol, level, size, and Camegle Classification of Institution: 1994

| Insititutional characteristic | Total number of libraries | Public service hours per typical week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Less than 20 | 20 to 39 | 40 to 59 | 60 to 79 | 80 to 99 | 100 to 119 | 120 or more |
| All higher education institutions ' | 3.303 | 252.112 | 23 | 63 | 439 | 1.430 | 962 | 311 | 75 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publlc ................................... | 1.548 | 117,692 | 4 | 3 | 160 | 856 | 378 | 105 | 42 |
| Prlvate .................................. | 1.755 | 134,420 | 19 | 60 | 279 | 574 | 584 | 206 | 33 |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ........ | 2,032 | 170,787 | 7 | 21 | 148 | 596 | 908 | 301 | 51 |
| Doctor's ........................... | 514 | 47,402 | 2 | 4 | 25 | 83 | 226 | 144 | 30 |
| Master's ............................ | 855 | 71.917 | 3 | 8 | 44 | 231 | 466 | 90 | 13 |
| Bachelor's ........................ | , 660 | 51.228 | 2 | 9 | 79 | 280 | 215 | 67 | 8 |
| Less than 4-year ................... | 1.271 | 81.325 | 16 | 42 | 291 | 834 | 54 | 10 | 24 |
| Size (FTE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 ..................... | 1.754 | 122.427 | 21 | 62 | 365 | 790 | 408 | 88 | 20 |
| 1,500 to 4,999 ....................... | 984 | 78,556 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 468 | 329 | 107 | 17 |
| 5,000 or more ...................... | 565 | 51.129 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 172 | 225 | 116 | 38 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II ................... | 125 | 13.133 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 35 | 68 | 16 |
| Doctoral I and II ..................... | 110 | 10.902 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 59 | 34 | 9 |
| Master's I and II ..................... | 518 | 45,855 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 102 | 347 | 52 | 10 |
| Baccalaureate I and II .......... | 606 | 51,455 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 191 | 301 | 87 | 8 |
| Assoclate of Arts ................... | 1.175 | 76.631 | 10 | 31 | 231 | 813 | 59 | 10 | 21 |
| Specialized | 556 | 40.974 | 4 | 15 | 108 | 222 | 145 | 54 | 8 |
| Not classified ....................... | 213 | 13,161 | 6 | 13 | 75 | 94 | 16 | 6 | 3 |
| 1 Institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 While 'level' and 'Carnegle Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The 'Carnegle Classification' is based on criteria such as Institution mission and research funding in addition to highest level of degree awarded. The Camegie Classification developed by The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and publisied in A Classification of institutions of Higher Education, 1994 Edition. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: This table is slmilar to Ta SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academ | Library Surve | ademic Lib | ries E.D.-TABS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B-3.-- Number served and number of reference transactions per typical week, and total information service to groups, In academic ilbraries by control, level, size, and Camegie Classification of Institution: 1994

| Institutional characteristic | Total number of libraries | Gate count per typical week | Reference transactions. Including computer searches per typical week | Information senice to groups, fiscal year 1994 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total number of presentations | Total number served |
| All higher education institutions ' | 3.303 | 17.830.269 | 2.147.109 | 487.279 | 6.081,659 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public <br> Private $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | 1.548 1.755 | $\begin{array}{r} 11.725 .523 \\ 6,104.746 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,476.729 \\ 670,379 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226.972 \\ & 260,307 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,260,345 \\ & 1,821,314 \end{aligned}$ |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2.032 | 13,897,613 | 1,555.351 | 248.815 | 3.999.457 |
| Doctor's .......................... | 514 | 8,613,309 | 955,628 | 130,688 | 1.911.121 |
| Master's .......................... | 855 | 3,875.954 | 443.433 | 86.469 | 1.537.100 |
| Bachelor's ......................... | ${ }_{6}^{660}$ | 1.395.407 | 154,631 | 31.331 | 545.105 |
| Less than 4-year ................... | 1.271 | 3.932.657 | 591,757 | 238,464 | 2.082,202 |
| Size (FTE enroliment) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 .................... | 1.754 | 3,149,230 | 417.736 | 206.780 | 1,131,896 |
| 1.500 to 4,999 ....................... | 984 | 4,387,241 | 507.057 | 103.481 | 1,909,572 |
| 5,000 or more ...................... | 565 | 10.293.798 | 1,222,315 | 177,019 | 3.040.191 |
| Camegle Crassification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II .................. | 125 | 4.956.865 | 526.706 | 71.005 | 1,005.937 |
| Doctoral I and II .................. | 110 | 1.784,399 | 201.765 | 29.530 | 479.186 |
| Master's I and II ................... | 518 | 3.598,437 | 423.912 | 77.958 | 1,401,545 |
| . Baccalaureate I and II .......... | 606 | 1.787.106 | 170.234 | 36.029 | 638.971 |
| Asspciate of Arts .................. | 1.175 | 3.874,273 | 587.263 | 232.184 | 2.054,845 |
| Speclalized ........................ | 556 | 1.537,584 | 180.299 | 26.135 | 355.655 |
| Not classified ....................... | 213 | 291,604 | 56.929 | 14.438 | 145.519 |

Table B-4.-- Number of volumes of books, bound serials, and bound govemment documents held at the end of the year, and number of libraries by number of volumes by control, level, size, and Camegle Classification of Institution: 1994

| Institutional characteristic | Total number of libraries | Volumes held at end of year | Number of libraries in volume category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Less than 5.000 | $\begin{gathered} 5.000 \text { to } \\ 9.999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.000 \text { to } \\ 19,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.000 \text { to } \\ 29,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.000 \text { to } \\ 49,999 \end{gathered}$ | 50.000 to 99.999 | $\begin{gathered} 100,000 \text { to } \\ 249,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 250,000 \text { to } \\ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 500.000 \text { to } \\ 999,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000,000 \\ & \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ |
| All higher education institutions ' | 3.303 | 776.447.422 | 237 | 147 | 219 | 229 | 495 | 663 | 744 | 269 | 143 | 157 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publle ............................... | 1,548 | 449.762.826 | 30 | 44 | 79 | 145 | 332 | 330 | 238 | 145 | 99 | 106 |
| Private ................................ | 1.755 | 326,084,596 | 207 | 103 | 140 | 84 | 163 | 333 | 506 | 124 | 44 | 51 |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2,032 | 724,534,843 | 65 | 53 | 79 | 71 | 160 | 367 | 673 | 264 | 143 | 157 |
| Doctor's ......................... | 514 | 495,291.020 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 38 | 127 | 75 | 88 | 150 |
| Master's ......................... | 855 | 167.175.683 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 48 | 157 | 372 | 152 | 49 | 7 |
| Bachelor's ........................ | 660 | 61.465.053 | 40 | 29 | 53 | 48 | 102 | 172 | 174 | 37 | 5 | 0 |
| Less than 4-year .................. | 1,271 | 51.912 .579 | 172 | 94 | 140 | 158 | 335 | 296 | 71 | 5 | 0 |  |
| Slze (FTE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 .................... | 1.754 | 114.526.875 | 233 | 126 | 188 | 176 | 288 | 341 | 363 | 33 | 4 | 2 |
| 1,500 to 4.999 ...................... | 984 | 147.965,325 | 3 | 20 | 31 | 52 | 193 | 228 | 292 | 129 | 29 | 7 |
| 5.000 or more ..................... | 565 | 513.955.222 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 94 | 89 | 107 | 110 | 148 |
| Camegle Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II ................. | 125 | 336.562 .137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Doctoral I and II ................... | 110 | 87.076.878 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 19 | 44 | 35 |
| Master's I and II .................. | 518 | 153,340,734 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 52 | 216 | 163 | 67 | 8 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ......... | 606 | 95.481.934 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 31 | 186 | 292 | 63 | 14 | 3 |
| Assoclate of Arts ................. | 1,175 | 51.226,416 | 131 | 69 | 108 | 155 | 333 | 304 | 71 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Speclalized ......................... | 556 | 44.413.683 | 42 | 44 | 62 | 54 | 89 | 111 | 132 | 18 | 3 | 1 |
| Not classified ...................... | 213 | 8.345.640 | 62 | 30 | 40 | 11 | 37 | 9 | 21 | 1 | 2 | 0 |

1 Instthutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994
2 While 'level' and 'Carnegle Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications.
While 'level' and 'Carnegle Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. developed by The Camegle Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and published in A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, 1994 Edifion. NOTE: This table is similar to Table 5 B in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report.
Table B-5.-- Number of units and linear feet held at the end of the year and number of volumes, units, and linear feet added during the year by material coliection category, in academic libraries by control, level, size, and Camegie Classification of institution: 1994

| Institurional characteristic | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { librories } \end{gathered}$ | Number held at the end of the year |  |  |  | Number added during the year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Physical units of microforms | Manuscripts and archives in linear feet | Cortographic materials units | Graphic materials units | Books and bound serials volumes | Physical units of microforms | Manuscripts and archives linear feet | Cartographic materials units | Graphic materials units |
| All higher education Institutions ' | 3.303 | 929,248.580 | 4,131,208 | 34,959.196 | 94.531,358 | 21,543,879 | 46,071,716 | 220,493 | 865,480 | 2,720,516 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public ........ | 1,548 | 641,882,862 | 2,462,143 | 26,760,574 | 60,080,943 | 12,373,248 | 28,198,961 | 112,263 | 670,713 | 1.426.699 |
| Private .............................. | 1,755 | 287,365.718 | 1,669,065 | 8.198.621 | 28.450,415 | 9,170.630 | 17.872.755 | 108.230 | 194.768 | 1.293.817 |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2,032 | 891.780.311 | 3.969.454 | 34.670.605 | 90.736.613 | 19,647.927 | 43.496.143 | 214,780 | 851.683 | 2,612,448 |
| Doctor's ......................... | 514 | 594,260,012 | 2,769,850 | 30,122,745 | 77.013.203 | 13,127,338 | 25.782,343 | 140,964 | 679.624 | 2.121.132 |
| Master's .......................... | 855 | 264,804,102 | 945.269 | 3.623.370 | 10.662.311 | 4,733,309 | 15.130.061 | 55,665 | 133.686 | 371.259 |
| Bachelor's ...................... | 660 | 31,809.422 | 250.776 | 877.274 | 2,976.115 | 1,767.584 | 2.532,972 | 18,001 | 37.121 | 114.412 |
| Less than 4-year .................. | 1,271 | 37.468,269 | 161,754 | 288,591 | 3.794,745 | 1,895,951 | 2.575.573 | 5.713 | 13.797 | 108.069 |
| Size (TIE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 ........... | 1.754 | 87,452.713 | 6.24,615 | 1,554,509 | 9,023.953 | 3,651.174 | 7.047,051 | 56.996 | 55,514 | 339.265 |
| 1,500 to 4,999 ...................... | 984 | 174,771,473 | 776.078 | 3.144,482 | 8.500,929 | 4,292,620 | 10,430,464 | 30,624 | 110,592 | 375,359 |
| 5.000 or more ..................... | 565 | 667,024,393 | 2,730,515 | 30,260,205 | 77,006.476 | 13,600.085 | 28.594.201 | 132.873 | 699,375 | 2,005.893 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II .................. | 125 | 376,379,098 | 2,009.015 | 22,247,899 | 61,766,592 | 8.495.004 | 15,000,264 | 98,194 | 509,545 | 1,655.995 |
| Doctoral I and II .................... | 110 | 132,037.157 | 435.057 | 5,433,440 | 9,932,686 | 2,590.766 | 6,319,090 | 22.353 | 112.174 | 272.893 |
| Master's I and II .................. | 518 | 274.245.116 | 819.897 | 4,250.538 | 7,883,419 | 4,139.087 | 13.738.051 | 30.764 | 144.926 | 239.820 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ......... | 606 | 60,229,846 | 420,803 | 1.693.662 | 3,433,864 | 2,572,055 | 4,534,724 | 36,956 | 53.090 | 112.948 |
| Assoclate of Arts .................. | 1.175 | 36.058.962 | 153.137 | 276.409 | 3.711.982 | 1,847.672 | 2.515 .772 | 4,964 | 13.325 | 101.166 |
| Speclalzed ........................ | 556 | 40.865,909 | 253.901 | 1.021.183 | 7.224.694 | 1,508.324 | 2.863.092 | 21,854 | 29.499 | 313.808 |
| Not classified ...................... | 213 | 8.832.492 | 39,398 | 96,064 | 578,120 | 390.971 | 1.100.723 | 5.409 | 2.920 | 23.886 |

[^3]2 While 'level' and 'Carnegle Classification' are slmilar, there is not complete overiap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. developed by The Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and published in A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, Ig94 Edition. NOTE: This table is similar to Table 6B In the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report.
SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey
Table B-6.- Number of unduplicated tities held at ene of the year in academic throries by moterial collection category, and control, level, size, and Camegie Classification of insitultion: 1994

| Institutional charactertstic | Total number of librarles | Books and bound serials | Government documents not elsewhere classified | Current serial subscriptions | Microforms | Sound recordings ${ }^{3}$ | Films and video materials | Computer files ${ }^{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All higher education institutions ${ }^{1}$ | 3.303 | 422,267.288 | 66,508,575 | 6.212.409 | 158,344,531 | 9.268,687 | 3,392,189 | 464,940 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publle | 1,548 | 247,183,246 | 51,070,524 | 3,345,465 | 107,299,793 | 4.729,134 | 2,270,725 | 319.877 |
| Private ............................... | 1.755 | 175,084,042 | 15,438,051 | 2.866,943 | 51,044,738 | 4,539,553 | 1,121,464 | 145.063 |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2.032 | 378.373.227 | 64,689,014 | 5,738,706 | 154,437,512 | 7.795.986 | 2.166,838 | 319,382 |
| Doctor's.. | 514 | 217,400.365 | 37,541,012 | 3,816,722 | 84,024,846 | 4,244,551 | 916,820 | 145.823 |
| Master's ........................... | 855 | 114,783.737 | 23,047.011 | 1.473,101 | 63,782,328 | 2,501,269 | 877.947 | 87.786 |
| Bachelor's ....................... | 660 | 45,783,631 | 3,926.583 | 442.945 | 6,430,671 | 1,041.611 | 369.838 | 75.703 |
| Less than 4-year .................. | 1,271 | 43,894,061 | 1,819,561 | 473,703 | 3,907,019 | 1,472.700 | 1,225.351 | 145.558 |
| Size (FTE enroilment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 .................... | 1.754 | 89,141,805 | 6,435.750 | 1,201,698 | 22,685,610 | 2,419.501 | 911.608 | 195,621 |
| 1.500 to 4,999 ...................... | 984 | 102,368.524 | 16,837.545 | 1,308,261 | 44,151,058 | 2,365,178 | 1,193.613 | 102.036 |
| 5,000 or more ...................... | 565 | 230,756.959 | 43,235,280 | 3,702.450 | 91,507,863 | 4,484,007 | 1,286.968 |  |
| Camegie Classfication (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II .................. | 125 | 122,858.218 | 15,730.298 | 2,110,909 | 37.690,541 | 2,315.416 | 415.945 | 32.066 |
| Doctoral I and II .................. | 110 | 48,339,458 | 12.664.542 | 1,026,778 | 19.650,848 | 1,024,567 | 206.346 | 53.651 |
| Master's I and II ................... | 518 | 102,307.722 | 26,382,322 | 1,121,701 | 74,059,956 | 1,906,543 | 688.778 | 82.863 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ......... | 606 | 66,378,921 | 5.974.472 | 620,462 | 12,808,060 | 1,480,674 | 501.686 | 57.057 |
| Assoclate of Arts ................. | 1.175 | 43,287.854 | 1,798,443 | 451.568 | 3,797,216 | 1,426,530 | 1,191.718 | 140.115 |
| Speclalized | 556 | 33,307.369 | 3,575,289 | 781.234 | 9.251,880 | 928,076 | 304,229 | 74,504 |
| Not classified ...................... | 213 | 5,787.746 | 383.209 | 99.758 | 1,086,031 | 186.880 | 83,487 | 24,684 |
| 1 institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994 <br> 2 While 'level' and 'Carnegie Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the The 'Carnegle Classification' is based on criteria such as insttution mission and research funding in addition to highest level of degree awarded. The Carnegie Classification developed by The Carnegle Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and published in A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, 1994 Edition. <br> 3 'Sound recordings' was previously labeled 'Audio materials,' and 'Computer files' was previously labeled 'Machine readable materials.' <br> NOTE: This table is similar to Table 7B in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report. <br> SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recogntzed by the Secretary of Education, 1994
2 While 'level' and 'Carnegle Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. the 'Camegie Classification' Is based on criteria such as institution mission and research funding in addition to highest level of degree awarded. The Carnegi 3 'Sound recordings' was previously labeled 'Audio materials.' and 'Computer files' was previously labeled 'Machine readable materials.
Table B-7.-- Number of undupllcated tities added during the year by material collection category, and by control,

| Institutional characteristic | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { number of } \\ & \text { libranies } \end{aligned}$ | Books and bound serials | Govermment documents not elsewhere classified | Current serial subscriptions | Microforms | Sound recordings ${ }^{3}$ | Films and video materials | $\underset{\text { files }^{3}}{ }{ }^{\text {Compr }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All higher education Institutions ' | 3.303 | 12,830,647 | 3.173.709 | 226.767 | 9.799.683 | 342,861 | 364,264 | 83.239 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Prlvate $\qquad$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,548 \\ & 1,755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,441,611 \\ & 5,389,036 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.390,297 \\ 783,412 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 105.213 \\ & 121.554 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.994 .633 \\ & 3,805,050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 173.216 \\ & 169.644 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 219,384 \\ 144,880 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 47.483 \\ & 35.756 \end{aligned}$ |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2.032 | 11.329,318 | 2958,732 | 191.442 | 9,396.386 | 300.729 | 236,733 | 63,746 |
| Doctor's ......................... | 514 | 6.718.281 | 1,387,609 | 103.773 | 4.219.898 | 179,458 | 91.590 | 22.972 |
| Master's ......................... | 855 | 3.240.406 | 1.261,084 | 62.337 | 4,665.379 | 83.749 | 95.006 | 25.314 |
| Bachelor's ....................... | 660 | 1.360.432 | 304,087 | 24,403 | 499.333 | 37,196 | 49.872 | 15.339 |
| Less than 4-year ................... | 1,271 | 1,501,329 | 214,977 | 35.325 | 403.297 | 42,131 | 127.531 | 19,493 |
| Slze (TIE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 .................... | 1,754 | 2.738,825 | 421,402 | 76.996 | 1,796.795 | 94,329 | 113.285 | 27.493 |
| 1,500 to 4.999 ..................... | 984 | 2,953.880 | 991.479 | 51.299 | 2.949 .916 | 93.238 | 124.272 | 24,284 |
| 5,000 or more ...................... | 565 | 7.137.942 | 1.760,828 | 98,472 | 5,052.972 | 155.293 | 126.707 | 31,462 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II .................. | 125 | 3.958.124 | 477,343 | 43.658 | 1,644,212 | 68.252 | 43.612 | 10,575 |
| Doctoral I and II ................... | 110 | 1.506.249 | 486,696 | 31,221 | 1,282.087 | 53.736 | 21.402 | 5.839 |
| Master's I and II ................... | 518 | 2.737 .427 | 1,338,141 | 43.700 | 4.359.261 | 76.715 | 66.843 | 18.360 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ......... | 606 | 1,865.973 | 438.681 | 26.884 | 1,334,539 | 60.586 | 65.253 | 14.751 |
| Associate of Arts ................. | 1.175 | 1,457,631 | 212,634 | 33.702 | 398.993 | 41.511 | 123.954 | 19.063 |
| Speclalized ......................... | 556 | 1.054,769 | 196.743 | 38.039 | 654,679 | 36,304 5 | 31,840 | 10,285 |
| Not classified ........................ | 213 | 250.475 | 23,471 | 9.563 | 125,913 | 5.756 | 11,361 | 4.368 |

Table B-8.-- Number and percent of FTE staff in academic libraries by staff category and by institutional control ievel, size, and Camegie, Classification of institution: 1994

| institutional characteristic | Total number of libraries | Total FTE staff | Percent | Librarians and other professional |  | All other paid staff |  | Contributed service staff |  | Student assistants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | FTE | Percent | FTE | Percent | FIE | Percent | FTE | Percent |
| All higher education institutions ${ }^{1}$ | 3.303 | 95.843 | 100.0\% | 26.726 | 27.9\% | 40,381 | 42.1\% | 326 | 0.3\% | 28,411 | 29.6\% |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Publlc ................................ | 1.548 | 58.457 | 61.0 | 15.748 | 26.9 | 25.928 | 44.4 | 133 | . 2 | 16.648 | 28.5 |
| Private ................................. | 1.755 | 37.386 | 39.0 | 10,978 | 29.4 | 14,453 | 38.7 | 193 | . 5 | 11,762 | 31.5 |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2.032 | 81.689 | 85.2 | 22,698 | 27.8 | 34,318 | 42.0 | 235 | . 3 | 24,438 | 29.9 |
| Doctor's ........................... | 514 | 53.158 | 55.5 | 14,524 | 27.3 | 24,238 | 45.6 | 74 | . 1 | 14,322 | 26.9 |
| Master's ........................... | 855 | 20.587 | 21.5 | 5.907 | 28.7 | 7.582 | 36.8 | 103 | . 5 | 6.996 | 34.0 |
| Bachelor's ....................... | 660 | 7.845 | 8.2 | 2.242 | 28.6 | 2.457 | 31.3 | 58 | . 7 | 3.089 | 39.4 |
| Less than 4-year .................. | 1.271 | 14.154 | 14.8 | 4.028 | 28.5 | 6.063 | 42.8 | 91 | . 6 | 3,972 | 28.1 |
| Size (FTE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 | 1.754 | 15,773 | 16.5 | 4.790 | 30.4 | 5.207 | 33.0 | 180 | 1.1 | 5.595 | 35.5 |
| 1.500 to 4.999 ...................... | 984 | 21.217 | 22.1 | 6.187 | 29.2 | 8,523 | 40.2 | 49 | . 2 | 6.458 | 30.4 |
| 5,000 or more ...................... | 565 | 58.853 | 61.4 | 15.749 | 26.8 | 26,651 | 45.3 | 96 | . 2 | 16,357 | 27.8 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II ................. | 125 | 33.258 | 34.7 | 8.987 | 27.0 | 15.847 | 47.7 | 60 | . 2 | 8.364 | 25.1 |
| Doctoral I and II ................... | 110 | 10.444 | 10.9 | 2.788 | 26.7 | 4,534 | 43.4 | 4 | 0 | 3,118 | 29.9 |
| Master's I and II ................... | 518 | 19.250 | 20.1 | 5.416 | 28.1 | 7.425 | 38.6 | 40 | . 2 | 6.369 | 33.1 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ......... | 606 | 10,612 | 11.1 | 2.885 | 27.2 | 3,392 | 32.0 | 87 | . 8 | 4.248 | 40.0 |
| Assoclate of Arts ................. | 1.175 | 13.646 | 14.2 | 3.915 | 28.7 | 5,905 | 43.3 | 83 | . 6 | 3.743 | 27.4 |
| Speclalized ........................ | 556 | 6.853 | 7.2 | 2.197 | 32.1 | 2.673 | 39.0 | 42 | . 6 | 1,942 | 28.3 |
| Not classified ...................... | 213 | 1.779 | 1.9 | 538 | 30.2 | 604 | 34.0 | 10 | . 6 | 627 | 35.2 |

[^4]While 'level' and 'Carnegie Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. developed by The Camegle Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and published in A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education, 1994 Edition. NOTE: Columns may not sum to total due to rounding of FTE staff. This table is similar to Tiable 4B in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report.
Table B-9.-- Total operating expenditures and number of libraries by category of total operating expenditures, by control, level, size, and Camegie Classification of institution: 1994

| Institutional characteristic | Total number of librarles | Total operating expenditures | Number of libraries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than } \\ & \$ 20,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 20,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 49,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 50,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 99,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 199,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 200,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 299,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499,999 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 500,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,000,000 \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1.999,999 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,000,000 \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |
| All higher education Institutions ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | 3,303 | \$4,013,332.555 | 43 | 135 | 261 | 531 | 408 | 583 | 561 | 368 | 413 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public | 1.548 | 2,441,579,701 | 2 | 13 | 62 199 | 204 | 195 | 281 | 293 | 214 154 | $\begin{aligned} & 284 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ |
| Private | 1.755 | 1,571.752,853 | 41 | 122 | 199 | 327 | 213 | 302 | 268 | 154 | $129$ |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ....... | 2.032 | 3,558.963,059 |  | 45 | 90 | 269 | 217 | 333 | 367 | 298 | 402 |
| Doctor's | 514 | 2,495.672, 153 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 24 | 15 | 38 179 | 48 218 | 93 169 | 287 |
|  | 855 | 792.775.794 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 76 168 | 87 115 | 179 | 218 100 | 169 36 | 100 |
| Bachelor's | 660 1 | $266,617,378$ $454,369,496$ | 7 32 | 40 90 | 64 171 | 168 262 | 115 191 | 116 250 | 100 | 36 70 | 14 11 |
| Less than 4-year .................. | 1,271 | 454,369,496 | 32 | 90 | 171 | 262 | 191 | 250 | 194 | 70 | 11 |
| Slize (TTE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 .................... | 1.754 | 551,877.423 | 43 | 134 | 257 | 466 | 308 | 311 | 145 | 69 | 21 |
| 1,500 to 4,999 ............................ | 984 | 816,909,208 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 65 | 99 | 244 | 332 | 168 | 71 |
| 5.000 or more ...................... | 565 | 2.644,545.923 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 84 | 131 | 321 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II .................. | 125 | 1,622,320,560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 124 |
| Doctoral I and II ....................... | 110 | 470,559.663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 87 |
| Master's I and II ..................... | 518 | 750, 136.280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 67 | 139 | 159 | 127 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ......... | 606 | 369,688,733 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 82 | 108 | 166 | 151 | 58 | 27 |
| Associate of Arts .................. | 1,175 | 443,771,329 | 24 | 74 | 127 | 244 | 177 | 252 | 198 | 69 | 10 |
| Specialized | . 556 | 297.179.447 | 9 | 34 | 74 50 | 128 67 | 79 28 | 82 16 | 62 8 | 55 | 33 |
| Not classified ........................ | 213 | 59,676.543 | 9 | 24 | 50 | 67 | 28 | 16 | 8 | 6 | 5 |

1 institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994 .'
 developed by The Carnegle Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and published in A Classification of Institutions of Higher Education. 1994 Edition. NOTE: This table is similar to Table $1 B$ in the 1992 Academic Lbrarles E.D.-TABS report.
SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey
Table B-10.-- Library operating expenditures by oblect of expenditure, and salaries as a percent of fotal operating expenditures, by control, level, size, and Carnegle Classification of instifution: 1994

| Insitiutionas charcetorsfic | Total number of libraries | Total operating expenditures | Salaries and wages | Salaries and wages as a percent of total operating expendifures | information resources total ${ }^{3}$ | Preservation | All other operating expenditures ${ }^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All higher education Institutions ' ......... | 3.303 | \$4,013,332,555 | \$2,021,233,348 | 50.4\% | \$1.348,933.468 | \$46.554,495 | \$596.611.244 |
| Confrol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public ...................................... | 1.548 | 2,441,579,701 | 1,266.857,891 | 51.9 | 801,734,367 | 26,721,951 | 346,263.493 |
| Private ........................................ | 1.755 | 1,571,752.853 | 754,37,3,458 | 48.0 | 547.199.101 | 19.832.544 | 250,347.751 |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above ............... | 2.032 | 3.558.963.059 | 1,729,596,645 | 48.6 | 1,250,817.603 | 45.586.564 | 532,962.247 |
| Doctor's .................................. | 514 | 2.495,672.153 | 1.183.857.510 | 47.4 | 891,923.301 | 35,696,842 | 384,194,500 |
| Master's ................................. | 855 | 792,775.794 | $411.509,293$ | 51.9 | 269,656.676 | 7.232,800 | 104,377.026 |
| Bachelor's .............................. | 660 | 266.617.378 | 132,4081.528 | 49.7 | 87,774,242 | 2.602,242 | 43.832.366 |
| Less than 4-year ........................... | 1.271 | 454,369,496 | 291.636,703 | 64.2 | 98,115,865 | 967,931 | 63,648,997 |
| Slze (FTE enrollment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1,500 ............................. | 1,754 | 551,877,423 | 279,523,780 | 50.6 | 177,944,470 | 5.535.117 | 88.874.055 |
| 1,500 to 4.999 ............................... | 984 | 816.909.208 | 425,421.834 | 52.1 | 261,312,258 | 6,670,079 | 123,505,038 |
| 5.000 or more ............................... | 565 | 2.644.545.923 | 1,316,287.734 | 49.8 | 909,676.740 | 34,349.299 | 384,232,151 |
| Camegle Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Research I and II .......................... | 125 | 1.622,320.560 | 768,332,987 | 47.4 | 575,230,078 | 25,307,152 | 253,450,344 |
| Doctoral I and II ............................ | 110 | 470.559.663 | 218.378.625 | 46.4 | 178,230,036 | 5,490,568 | 68,460.434 |
| Master's I and II .......................... | 518 | 750.136.280 | 391,759.514 | 52.2 | 256,162.891 | 6,640.243 | 95,573.632 |
| Baccalaureate I and II ................. | 606 | 369.688.733 | 180,458,706 | 48.8 | 127.961.159 | 4.079.248 | 57.189.620 |
| Associate of Ats ......................... | 1.175 | 443,771,329 | 285.144.069 | 64.3 | 95,951,459 | 958,574 | 61.717 .228 |
| Specialzed ................................. | 556 | 297,179.447 | 145,901,424 | 49.1 | 95.969.119 | 3.733.006 | 51,575.898 |
| Not classified ............................... | 213 | 59,676,543 | 31,258,1024 | 52.4 | 19,428,727 | 345.705 | 8.644,087 |

1 Institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994
2 While 'level' and 'Camegle Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications,
2 While 'level' and 'Carnegle Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. The 'Carnegie Classification' is based on criteria such as institution mission and research funding in addition to highest level of degree awarded. The Carnegie Classification was
developed by The Carnegle Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and published in A Classification of institutions of Higher Education, I994 Edition.
'Information resources total' most closely resembles the category 'Collection expenditures total' in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report. NOT: Tr SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey
Table B-11.-- Operating expenditures for information resources, equipment and other selected expenditures by category, by control, level, size, and Carnegie Classification of Institution: 1994

| Institutional characteristic | Total number of librarles | information resources total ${ }^{3}$ | Books and bound seriais | Current serial subscriptions | Microforms | Audiovisual materials | Computer files/search services: | Document delivery/interlibrary loan ${ }^{4}$ | Other information resources | Furniture and equipment ${ }^{4}$ | Computer hardware and software | Bibliographic utilities, networks. consortia ${ }^{4}$ | Other operating expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All higher education instifutions ' $\qquad$ | 3.303 | \$1.348,933,468 | \$442,495,007 | \$690.410,314 | \$62.420.878 | \$28.753,032 | \$70,792,475 | \$12,238.273 | \$41,823,488 | \$55.915,033 | \$128.127.822 | \$81.105,704 | \$331,462,685 |
| Control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public .................. | 1.548 | 801,734,367 | 249,419,632 | $425,853,261$ | $35,791,516$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18,280,503 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $39.781 .805$ $31.010 .669$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7,507.845 \\ & 4,730,429 \end{aligned}$ | $25,099,805$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.523,061 \\ & 21,391,971 \end{aligned}$ | 80.208 .226 47.919 .596 | $\begin{aligned} & 42,722,255 \\ & 38.383,449 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188.809 .950 \\ & 142,652.735 \end{aligned}$ |
| Private ................ | 1.755 | 547,199.101 | 193,075,375 | $264,557,053$ | $26,629,363$ | $10,472.529$ | 31,010,669 | $4,730,429$ | $16,723.683$ | $21,391,971$ |  |  |  |
| Level ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total 4-year and above | 2.032 | 1,250,817,603 | 399.479,204 | ( $55,807,561$ | 54,233,726 | 19.944.911 | 61.910,267 | 11,432,982 | 38.008.952 | 45,209,972 | 112,828,799 | 72,383.778 | 302.539.698 |
| Doctor's ........... | 2.032 514 | 1,251,923,301 | 268,685,502 | 505,588.892 | 31,978,268 | 9.496.760 | 39.168 .128 | 7,967,182 | 29.038.570 | 29,482,348 | 79,304,243 | 42,984,177 | 232,423,732 |
| Master*s ........ | 855 | 269,656,676 | 93.450,302 | 124.894.170 | 17.393, 668 | 7.233.497 | 16,891,760 | 2,539.537 | 7.253,741 | 11,127.253 | 25.008,247 | 21,499,098 | 46,742,429 |
| Bachelor's ....... | 660 | 87.774,242 | 36,895.794 | 34,442,562 | 4,823,917 | 3.191 .124 | 5,823,880 | 912.433 | 1,684,531 | 4,501,934 | 8.413.423 | 7,845.975 | 23.071.034 |
| Less than 4-year .. | 1.271 | 98.115.865 | 43,015,803 | 24,602.753 | 8.187.152 | 8,808,121 | 8,882,208 | 805,292 | 3,814,537 | 10,705,061 | 15,299,023 | 8,721.926 | 28,922,987 |
| Slize (FTE enroiment) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 74,363,535 | 10,543.301 | 6,604,533 | 12,536,397 | 2.384,447 | 6.031.292 | 9,891,855 | 19,839.574 | 16,040.411 | 43,102,216 |
| Less than 1,500 .... | $\begin{array}{r}1.754 \\ \hline 84\end{array}$ | 177,944,470 | 69.480 .906 <br> 93.157 .810 | 117,333,476 | 15,527,143 | 9.213 .303 | 18,234,805 | 2,399,746 | 5,445,975 | 12,932.422 | 26,069.574 | 21,354,888 | 63.148.154 |
| 5,000 or more ....... | 565 | 909,676.740 | 283, 856,231 | 498,713,303 | 36,350,435 | 12.935.196 | 40,021,272 | 7,454,081 | 30,346,222 | 33,090,756 | 82,218,674 | 43,710,406 | 225,212.315 |
| Camegie Classification (1994) ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 176.774.795 | 325,460,091 | 18.546,655 | 4,868,657 | 22,575,068 | 4,681,242 | 22,323.570 | 18.493,404 | 50,267.520 | 24,285.511 | 160,403.908 |
| Doctorall and II .. | 110 | 178,230,036 | 50,488,308 | 104,648,569 | 7.317.144 | 2,147,758 | 8,682.245 | 1,566.519 | 3,379.493 | 5,771,346 | 13,824,817 | 9.710.570 | 39,153,700 |
| Master's I and 'II ... | 518 | 256,162.891 | 85.852.832 | 124,205,902 | 16,087.981 | 6,424.985 | 14,952,217 | 2,276.458 | 6,362,515 | 10,802,367 | 24,915,194 | 17,599.031 | 42.257.040 |
| Baccalaureate I and II | 606 | 127,961,159 | 52.736,267 | 53,070.961 | 6.948,815 | 3,850.493 | 7.984,972 | 1,354.711 | 2,014,940 | 5,081.171 | 11.459.304 | 11.968.240 | 28,680,905 |
| Assoclate of Arts | 1,175 | 95,951,459 | 42,313,848 | 23,880,839 | 8,109,849 | 8,618.700 | 8.580,641 | 720.955 | 3.726,627 | 10,452.039 | 14.759.585 | 8,664,496 | 27.841,108 |
| Specialized .......... | 556 | 95.969.119 | 27,484,124 | 50,322,610 | 4,349,653 | 2,228.801 | 6,640,981 | 1,421.229 | 3.521,722 | 4,285.951 | 10,724,576 | 7,803,854 | 28.761,518 |
| Not classified ....... | 213 | 19.428.727 | 6.844,834 | 8,821,343 | 1,060,781 | 613,638 | 1,376,351 | 217.159 | 494,621 | 1,028.753 | 2.176,827 | 1,074,002 | 4,304,505 |

[^5]Table B-12a.-- Academic library circulation per full-time-equivalent (FIE) enrollment, by level and control of institution, United States: 1994

|  | Total higher education institutions ${ }^{1}$ | 4 -year institutions |  |  |  | Less than 4-year | Public | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 4-year institutions | Doctor's highest level of degree | Master's highest level of degree | Bachelor's highest level of degree |  |  |  |
| General circulation per FTE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ............................. | 6.3 | 10.0 | 14.4 | 9.5 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 5.6 | 7.6 |
| Median ................................... | 12.5 | 17.2 | 23.1 | 15.2 | 16.1 | 6.8 | 9.9 | 16.2 |
| Upper quartile ........................... | 23.4 | 32.2 | 45.6 | 25.5 | 32.2 | 12.6 | 16.6 | 33.3 |
| Resenve clrculation per FIE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile .......................... | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 1.6 | . 5 | . 9 | 1.4 |
| Median ................................... | 3.2 | 4.4 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 4.3 |
| Upper quartile ........................... | 6.7 | 8.6 | 10.9 | 7.2 | 8.8 | 3.7 | 4.7 | 9.1 |
| Total clrculation per FIE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartlle ............................. | 8.8 | 14.0 | 19.0 | 13.3 | 12.0 | 5.7 | 7.7 | 11.4 |
| Median ....................................... | 16.6 | 22.8 | 31.1 | 20.2 | 21.4 | 9.5 | 12.9 | 22.0 |
| Upper quartile ........................... | 31.1 | 42.5 | 58.4 | 33.1 | 43.5 | 15.8 | 21.2 | 45.5 |

Table B-12b.-- Number of books and bound serials volumes held at the end of the year, and added during the year by academic libraries per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment, by level and control of Institution, United States: 1994

|  | Total higher education institutions 1 | 4 -year institutions |  |  |  | Less than 4-year | Public | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 4-year institutions | Doctor's highest level of degree | Master's highest level of degree | Bachelor's highest level of degree |  |  |  |
| Volumes held per FTE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartle ......................... | 20.7 | 56.2 | 69.6 | 53.9 | 47.4 | 11.3 | 15.9 | 42.0 |
| Median ................................. | 56.9 | 93.3 | 111.2 | 79.9 | 98.1 | 18.4 | 30.5 | 95.8 |
| Upper quartile ........................ | 115.9 | 170.0 | 222.2 | 140.2 | 169.1 | 31.2 | 62.0 | 182.4 |
| Volumes added per FTE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartle .......................... | . 7 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.2 | . 3 | . 4 | 1.3 |
| Median ................................ | 1.6 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | . 6 | . 9 | 2.6 |
| Upper quartile ......................... | 3.3 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 5.0 |

[^6]Table B-12c.-- Total academlc llbrary staff, except student assistants, per 1,000 full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment,

1 Institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education. 1994
2 FTE enrollment is caiculated by adding one-third of part-time enrollment to full-time enroliment.
FTE enrollment is caiculated by adding one-third of part-time enrollment to full-time enroliment.
NOTE: This table is similar to Table 11 E in the 1992 Academic Librarles E.D.-TABS repoit.
SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 IPEDS Fall Enrollment Surver
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Table B-12d.-- Total operating expenditures for academic libraries, information resources, and serials expenditures per full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment, by level and control of Institution, United States: 1994

|  | Total higher education institutions ${ }^{1}$ | 4-year institutions |  |  |  | Less than 4-year | Public | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 4-year Institutions | Doctor's highest level of degree | Master's highest level of degree | Bachelor's highest level of degree |  |  |  |
| Total operating expendifures per FIE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ......................... | \$169.32 | \$274.34 | \$376.50 | \$263.86 | \$235.58 | \$110.00 | \$136.30 | \$252.92 |
| Median ................................. | \$290.81 | \$399.00 | \$594.29 | \$341.25 | \$368.33 | \$158.43 | \$208.60 | \$398.33 |
| Upper quartile ......................... | \$502.88 | \$698.20 | \$1,100.00 | \$539.33 | \$565.67 | \$237.75 | \$312.50 | \$742.25 |
| Expenditures for Information resources per FTE student ${ }^{\mathbf{2 , 3}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ......................... | \$40.26 | \$82.38 | \$135.12 | \$78.04 | \$66.38 | \$21.88 | \$28.89 | \$69.78 |
| Median ................................. | \$86.15 | \$128.86 | \$209.00 | \$109.90 | \$106.19 | \$35.71 | \$53.50 | \$121.05 |
| Upper quartile ........................ | \$164.98 | \$227.88 | \$378.50 | \$184.38 | \$180.25 | \$59.38 | \$99.75 | \$234.81 |
| Expenditures for current sentals per FTE student ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ........................ | \$9.21 | \$27.03 | \$60.19 | \$27.44 | \$17.55 | \$4.89 | \$6.80 | \$16.71 |
| Median ................................. | \$26.71 | \$49.02 | \$106.00 | \$44.25 | \$33.12 | \$8.48 | \$14.14 | \$39.42 |
| Upper quartile ......................... | \$63.84 | \$101.78 | \$189.50 | \$72.62 | \$62.03 | \$14.60 | \$43.19 | \$84.21 |
| 1 Institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994 <br> 2 FTE enrollment is calculated by adding one-third of part-time enrollment to full-time enrollment. <br> 3 'information resources' most closely resembles the category 'Collection expenditures' in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report. NOTE: This table is similar to Table 11B in the 1992 Academic Librarles E.D.-TABS report. <br> SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 IPEDS Fall Enrollment Survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B-12e.-- Academic library expenditures as a percentrage of E\&G expenditures; and expenditures for information resources, currenit serials, and sataries and wages as a percentage of total library operating expenditures, by level and control of Institution, United States: 1994

|  | Total higher education institutions ${ }^{1}$ | 4-year instifutions |  |  |  | Less than 4 -year | Public | Private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total 4-year institutions | Doctor's highest level of degree | Master's highest level of degree | Bachelor's highest level of degree |  |  |  |
| Total operating expenditures as percentage of E\&G expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile .......................... | 2.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.4\% | 2.2\% | 1.6\% | 1.9\% | 2.1\% |
| Median ................................. | 2.8\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | 3.1\% | 2.9\% | 2.3\% | 2.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Upper quartile ........................ | 3.9\% | 4.2\% | 4.6\% | 4.1\% | 4.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.4\% | 4.5\% |
| Expendithres for information resources as a percentage of total operating expenditures ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ........................ | 22.0\% | 26.7\% | 30.6\% | 26.4\% | 24.6\% | 17.1\% | 20.4\% | 24.2\% |
| Median ............................... | 29.7\% | 32.9\% | 36.1\% | 32.6\% | 31.1\% | 23.2\% | 27.5\% | 31.5\% |
| Upper quartile .......................... | 36.9\% | 39.3\% | 41.7\% | 39.2\% | 37.5\% | 30.1\% | 34.7\% | 38.4\% |
| Expenditures for current sericils cas a percentage of total information resources expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ........................ | 21.8\% | 27.5\% | 39.4\% | 28.4\% | 23.0\% | 16.9\% | 21.8\% | 21.8\% |
| Median ................................ | 32.9\% | 40.5\% | 54.1\% | 39.7\% | 33.8\% | 24.7\% | 32.2\% | 33.4\% |
| Upper quartile ........................ | 48.5\% | 53.8\% | 64.5\% | 50.8\% | 45.1\% | 33.7\% | 50.4\% | 46.7\% |
| Salaries and wages as a percentage of total operating expenditures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower quartile ........................ | 46.7\% | 44.8\% | 43.1\% | 45.1\% | 47.0\% | 52.9\% | 49.0\% | 45.1\% |
| Median ................................. | 54.8\% | 51.2\% | 47.5\% | 52.2\% | 54.1\% | 61.7\% | 57.4\% | 52.9\% |
| Upper quartile ......................... | 64.4\% | 58.9\% | 53.2\% | 59.2\% | 62.2\% | 70.9\% | 67.6\% | 61.0\% |

[^7]
# Appendix C: Academic Library Indicators 

Indicators for Academic Library Performance:<br>Ratios from the ARL Statistics 1993-94 and 1994-95 ${ }^{1}$

Ratio 1: Professional Staff as a Percentage of Total Staff<br>Ratio 2: Support Staff as a Percentage of Total Staff<br>Ratio 3: Student Assistants as a Percentage of Total Staff<br>Ratio 4: Ratio of Support to Professional Staff<br>Ratio 5: Items Loaned over Items Borrowed<br>Ratio 6: Serials Expenditures over Materials Expenditures<br>Ratio 7: Library Materials Expenditures over Total Expenditures<br>Ratio 8: Binding Expenditures over Total Expenditures<br>Ratio 9: Salary Expenditures over Total Expenditures<br>Ratio 10: Operating Expenditures over Total Expenditures<br>Ratio 11: Unit Price of Monographs<br>Ratio 12: Unit Price of Serials<br>Ratio 13: Items Borrowed per Faculty<br>Ratio 14: Total Expenditures per Faculty<br>Ratio 15: Volumes Added (Gross) per Faculty<br>Ratio 16: Volumes Held per Faculty<br>Ratio 17: Paid Serial Subscriptions per Faculty<br>Ratio 18: Monographs Purchased per Faculty<br>Ratio 19: Number of Faculty per Library Staff Member<br>Ratio 20: Expenditures for Library Materials per Faculty<br>Ratio 21: Expenditures for Serials per Faculty<br>Ratio 22: Items Borrowed per Student<br>Ratio 23: Total Expenditures per Student<br>Ratio 24: Volumes Added (Gross) per Student<br>Ratio 25: Volumes Held per Student<br>Ratio 26: Paid Serial Subscriptions per Student<br>Ratio 27: Monographs Purchased per Student<br>Ratio 28: Number of Students per Library Staff<br>Ratio 29: Expenditures for Library Materials per Student<br>Ratio 30: Expenditures for Serials per Student

'Taken from the Association of Research Libraries, Statistics and Measurement Home/ARL Statistics Home, November, 1996

## Appendix D: Survey Questionnaire <br> (Including Instructions and Definitions)

## INTEGRATED POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION DATA SYSTEM

## ACADEMIC LIBRARIES SURVEY 1994

Please read the accompanying instructions before completing this survey form. Report data ONLY for the institution in the address label. If data for any other institutions or branch campuses are included in this report because they CANNOT be reported separately, please provide a list of these schools.

NOTE - The completion of this survey, in a timely and accurate manner, is MANDATORY for all institutions which participate or are applicants for participation in any Federal financial assistance program authorized by Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended. The completion of this survey is mandated by 20 U.S.C. 1094(a)(17).

For those institutions not required to complete this survey on the basis of the above requirements, the completion of this survey is voluntary and authorized by 20 U.S.C. 1221e-1.
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.0 hour per response but may range from 30 minutes to 2.5 hours depending on whether the information is readily accessible to machine readable files. The burden estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, Information Management and
Compliance Division, Washington, DC 20202-4651, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 1850-0582, Washington, DC 20503.

If there are any questions about this form, contact a Bureau of the Census IPEDS representative at (800) 451-6236.

Please correct any errors in the name, address, and ZIP Code.


Date due: November 15, 1994
2. Title of respondent
3. Telephone (Area code, number, e,at.) $\square$ Yes
Does your institution have its own
library as defined in Part $A$ of the
instructions? $\square$ No - Please return this blank survey to the address shown above.

## PURPOSE OF THE SURVEY

The National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) collects these data periodically to obtain and report a comprehensive picture on the status of collections, transactions, staff, service per typical week, and library operating expenditures in postsecondary institutions. The survey is being conducted in compliance with the Center's mission "to collect, and analyze, and disseminate statistics and other data related to education in the United States . . '", (20 U.S.C. 1221e-1, General Education Provisions Act, Sec. 406(b), as amended.)

## USES OF DATA

Collection of these data over time will enable the nation to plan effectively for the development and use of postsecondary education library resources. Congress uses the data to assess the need for revisions of existing legislation concerning libraries and the allocation of Federal funds. Federal agencies need the data to evaluate and administer library programs. State education agencies and college librarians and administrators use the data for regional and national comparisons of library resources to plan for the effective use of funds. Finally, library associations and researchers use the survey results to determine the status of library operations and the profession.

The definitions and instructions for compiling IPEDS data have been designed to minimize comparability problems. However, postsecondary education institutions differ widely among themselves. As a result of these differences, comparisons of data provided by individual institutions paybg misleading.


## Part A - number of public service OUTLets, fiscal year 1994

| Line <br> No. | Item | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 01 | Branch and independent libraries - Exclude main or central library. |  |

## Part B - LIBRARY STAFF, FALL 1994

 (Exclude maintenance and custodial staff.)NOTE: Report data to two decimals.

| Line <br> No. | Staff | Number of FTE* |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 02 | Librarians and other professional staff |  |
| 03 |  |  |
| 04 | All other paid staff (except student assistants) |  |
| 05 | Contributed services staff |  |
| 0 | Student assistants from all funding sources |  |
| 0 | Total FTE staff - (Sum of lines 2 through 5)* |  |
| 0 |  |  |

[^8]NOTE: Do not report the same expenditures more than once.

| Line No. | Category | Amount (Whole dollars only) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07 | Salaries and wages - Exclude employee fringe benefits. <br> Librarians and other professional staff | s |
| 08 | All other paid staff (except student assistants) |  |
| 09 | Student assistants |  |
| 10 | Information resources <br> Books, serial backfiles, and other print materials - Exclude current serials and all microforms. |  |
| 91 | Current serials - Exclude microforms, audiovisual materials, and machine-readable materials. |  |
| 12 | Microforms - Include current serials. |  |
| 13 | Audiovisual materials - Include current serials. |  |
| 14 | Computer filcs and search services - Include current seria!s. |  |
| 15 | Document delivery/Interlibrary loan |  |
| 16 | Other |  |
| 17 | Preservation |  |
| 18 | Furniture and equipment - Exclude computer equipment. |  |
| 19 | Computer hardware and software - Include maintenance. |  |
| 20 | Bibliographic utilities, networks, and consortia |  |
| 21 | All other operating expenditures |  |
| 22 | Total operating expenditures (Sum of lines 7 through 21) | \$ |
| 23 | Employee fringe benefits (if paid from the library budget) | \$ |
|  | (6, 108 |  |


|  | Part D-LIBRARYCOLL | IONS, FISCAL YEAR | $\mathbf{L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Tote | er - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Line } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Category | Added during fiscal year <br> (1) | Held at end of fiscal year |
| $24{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Books, serial backfiles, and government documents that are accessible through the library's catalog - Inclüde bound periodicals and newspapers and exclude microforms. <br> Volumes |  |  |
| 25 | Titles |  |  |
| 26 | Government documents - Include government documents that are not reported elsewhere. <br> Units |  |  |
| 27 | Titles |  |  |
| 28 | Current serials - Include periodicals, newspapers, and government documents. <br> Total number of paid and unpaid subscriptions |  |  |
| 29 | Titles (number of unique titles) |  |  |
| 30 | Microforms <br> Units |  |  |
| 31 | Titles |  |  |
| 32 | Manuscripts and archives - Linear feet |  |  |
| 33 | Cartographic materials - Units |  |  |
| 34 | Graphic materials - Units |  |  |
| 35 | Sound recordings <br> Units |  |  |
| 36 | Titles |  |  |
| 37 | Film and video materials <br> Units |  |  |
| 38 | Titles |  |  |
| $39$ | Computer files <br> Units |  |  |
| $\because 40$ | Titles |  |  |
| H2 | Other library materials |  |  |


| Part E - LIbrary services, fiscal Year 1994 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line No. | Category | Number |
| 42 | Circulation transactions <br> General collection |  |
| 43 | Reserve collection |  |
| 44 | Document delivery/Interlibrary Ioan <br> Provided to other libraries |  |
| 45 | Received from other libraries or commercial services |  |
| 46 | Information service to groups <br> Number of presentations |  |
| 47 | Number of persons served in presentations |  |

Part F - Library services, typical week, fall 1994

| Line | Category | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Public service hours |  |
| 49 | Gate count |  |
| 50 | Reference transactions - include computer searching. |  |

## GEAERAL INSTRECTIONE - 1

Please respond to each item on this report in the space provided. Please do not leave any lines blank. If the appropriate answer is zero or none, use 0 . If an exact figure is unavailable, use U/A. Include data for the main or central library and all branch and independent libraries that were open all or part of fiscal year 1994.

## RRUSTTTUTIONAL IDENTIFICATION

In the space provided on the front page of this report, make any necessary corrections to the preprinted address information. Also, please enter the name, title, area code and telephone number of the person responsible for completing the report.

## CRemod OF REPORT

Report information for the following time periods as specified in each section:

1. Fiscal year 1994 - A 12-month period between June 1, 1993 and September 30, 1994 which corresponds to your institution's fiscal year. (For Sections A, C, D, E)
2. Typical week, Fall 1994 - A typical week is one that is neither unusually busy nor unusually slow. Avoid vacation periods for key staff or days when unusual events are taking place on the campus or in the library. Choose a week in which the library is open its regular hours. Include any seven consecutive calendar days. (Section F)
3. Fall 1994 - The period during the fall of 1994 when the survey form is being completed. (Section B - Library Staff)

## Part A - NUMBER OF PUBLIC SERVICE OUTLETS, FISCAL YEAR 1994

Library - An entity that provides all of the following:

1. An organized collection of printed or other materiais, or a combination thereof;
2. A staff trained to provide and interpret such materials as required to meet the informational, cultural, recreational, or educational needs of clientele;
3. An established schedule in which services of the staff are available to clientele;
Q. The physical facilities necessary to support such a collection, staff, and schedule.
This includes libraries that are part of learning resource centers.

Bremsta and indepenclent libraries (line 1) - Report the number of branch and independent libraries at your insticution that were open all or pari of FY 1994. EXCLUDE THE MAIN OR CENTRAL LIBRARY. Branch and independent libraries are defined as auxiliary library service outlets with quarters separate from the central library of an institution which have a basic collection of books and other materials, a regular staffing level, and an established schedule. Branch and independent libraries are administered either by the central library Or, as in the case of some libraries (such as law, medical, etc.), through the administrative structure of other units within the university. Departmental study/reading rooms are not included. Include data for all branch and independent libraries on the campus. Include libraries on breveef campuses (i.e., located in another community) if those campuses are registered under the same NCES UNITID number as the main campus. (Exclude libraries on branch campuses that have a separate identification number.)

## Part B - LIBRARY STAFF, FALL $\$ 994$

Fulf-time equivalent (FTE) employees - Report the number of filled or temporarily vacant full-time equivalent (FTE) positions during Fall 1994 paid from funds under library control. To compute full-time equivalents of part-time employees and student assistants, take the TOTAL number of hours worked per week by part-time employees IN EACH CATEGORY and divide it by the number of hours CONSIDERED BY THE REPORTING LIBRARY TO BE A FULL-TIME WORK WEEK (e.g., 60 hours per week of part-time work divided by 40 hours per full-time week equals 1.5 FTE). Data should be reported to two decimal places.
Librarians and other professional staff (line 2) - Report the total FTE of librarians and other professional staff, including administrators. Report staff members doing work that requires professional education (the master's degree or its equivalent) in the theoretical and scientific aspects of librarianship; also, in some libraries, staff performing professional level tasks who, though not librarians, have equivalent education and training in related fields (e.g., archives, computer sciences, business administration, education).

## All other paid staff (except student assistants)

 (line 3) - Report the total FTE of all other library staff who are paid annual salaries or hourly wages except students, who are reported on line 5 . Include technical and clerical staff, but exclude maintenance and custodial staff.Contributed services staff (line 4) - Report the total FTE for contributed services staff. Contributed services staff are those, such as members of religious orders, whose services are valued by bookkeeping entries rather than by full cash transactions. Do not include volunteers.

Student assistants from all funding sources (line 5) Report the total FTE of student assistants, employed on an hourly basis whose wages are paid from funds under library control or from a budget other than the library budget, including College Work Study Program. Exclude maintenance and custodial staff.
Total FTE staff (lime 6) - Report the sum of lines 2 through 5.

## Part c - LIBRARY OPERATING EXPENDITURES, FISGAL YEAR 1994

Expenditeares (lives 7-23) - Report funds expended by the library in fiscal year 1994 (regardless of when received) from its regular budget and from all other sources, e.g., research grants, special projects, gifts and endowments, and fees for services. If items in this section are not paid from the library budget but can be easily identified in other parts of the institution's budget, report them here. Expenditures should be reported for the 12 -month period which corresponds to your library's fiscal year between the calendar period June 1, 1993, to September 30, 1994. All expenditures should be reported in whole dollars in the most appropriate category to provide an unduplicated count of expenditures. Exclude expenditures for new buildings and building renovation. DO NOT REPORT ANY EXPENDITURES MORE THAN ONCE.

## Part C - LIBRARY OPERATING EXPENDITURES, FISCAL YEAR 1994 - Continued

Salaries and wages (lines 7-9) - Report expenditures for full-time and part-time salaries and wages before deductions. Exclude employee fringe benefits provided by your institution for all regular library staff which may be reported on line 23. Include salaries and wages from all sources paid to students serving on an hourly basis. Federal funds paid to students in the College Work Study Program should be reported here. Exclude contributed services and maintenance and custodial staff.

Books, serial backfiles, and other print materials (line 10) - Report expenditures for all materials consisting primarily of words and usually produced by making an impression with ink on paper. Included in this category are materials that do not require magnification: books, government documents, braile materials, ephemeral print materials, and the like. Exclude current serial subscriptions and microforms.

Current serials (line 11) - Report expenditures for current subscriptions to serials. These are publications issued in successive parts, usually at regular intervals, and, as a rule, intended to be continued indefinitely. Serials include periodicals, newspapers, annuals (reports, yeariooks, etc.), memoirs, proceedings, and transactions of societies. Exclude expenditures for microforms, audiovisual materials, and machine-readable materials.

Microforms (line 12) - Report expenditures for all photographic reproductions of textual, tabular, or graphic materials reduced in size so that they can be used only with magnification. Examples of microforms are roll microfilm, microcard, microfiche, and ultrafiche. Include current serials.

Audiovisual materials (line 13) - Report expenditures for all library materials that are displayed by visual projection or magnification or through sound reproduction, or both. including graphic materials, audio materials, motion pictures, video materials, and special visual materials such as three-dimensional materials. Include current serials.

Computer files and search services (line 14) - Report expenditures for materials considered part of the collection, whether purchased or !eased, such as CD-ROMs, magnetic tapes, and magnetic disks, that are designed to be processed by a computer or similar machine. Examples are U.S. Census data tapes, locally-mounted databases, and reference tools on CD-ROM, tape, or disk. Include current serials. Include expenditures for online searches of remote databases. Include expenditures for equipment when the cost is inseparably bundled into the price of the information service product. Exclude expenses for library system software and microcomputer software used only by the library staff which are reported on line 19.

Document delivery/nnterlibrary loan (line 15) - Report expenditures for document delivery and interlibrary loan services. Include fees paid for photocopies, costs of telefacsimile transmission, royalties and access fees paid to provide document delivery or interlibrary loan. Include fees paid to bibliographic utilities if the portion paid for interlibrary loan can be separately counted. Do not count expenditures related to transactions between the main or central library and any libraries reported in Part A, transactions between libraries reported in Part A, or expenditures for on campus delivery.

Other (line 16) - Report any other collection expenditures not already included on lines 10-15 such as expenditúres for cartographic materials and manuscripts.

Preservation (line 17) - Report expenditures for the activities associated with maintaining library and archival materials for use either in their original physical form or in some other usable way. This includes but is not limited to binding and rebinding, materials conservation, deacidification, lamination, and restoration.

Furniture and equipment (line 18) - Report expenditures for all library furniture and equipment purchased during the 1994 fiscal year. Include microform equipment, audiovisual equipment, and related maintenance costs.

Computer hardware and software (line 19) - Report expenditures from the library budget for computer hardware and software used to support library operations, whether purchased or leased, mainframe or microcomputer. Include expenditures for maintenance. Include the expenditure for equipment used to run information service products when that expenditure can be separated from the price of the product. Exclude expenditures reported on line 14.

## Bibliographic utilities, networks, and consortia

(line 20) - Report expenditures for services provided by national, regional, and local bibliographic utilities, networks, and consortia. Exclude expenditures already reported on lines 14 and 15.

All other operating expenditures (line 21) - Report all other expenditures not already reported on lines 7-20 except employee fringe benefits which are reported on line 23. Exclude expenditures for new buildings and building renovations.

Total (line 22) - Report the sum of lines 7 through 21.
Employee fringe benefits (line 23) - If benefits are paid from the library budget, report the amount here.

## Part D - LIBRARY COLLECTIONS, FISCAL' YEAR 1994

NOTE - Government documents should be included in the count for each format (i.e., lines 24, 25, and 28-41).

Column (1), Total number added during fiscal year Report the gross number of each category added. Do not subtract the number withdrawn.

Column (2), Total number held at end of fiscal year Report the total number of each category held at end of fiscal year. To get this figure, take the total number held at the end of the previous fiscal year, add the number added during the fiscal year just ended and subtract the number withdrawn during that period.

Units (lines 26, 30, 33, 34, 35, 37, 39, 41) - An individual physical item of library material. Examples of units are: a volume (books and serials); a reel, sheet, or card (microforms); a sheet or bound atlas (cartographic materials); a filmstrip, slide, photograph, or print (graphic materials); a disk, cassette, cartridge, or reel (sound recordings, film and video materials); a disk, tape, or cartridge (computer files).

Volumes (line 24) - Report the number of volumes of any printed, mimeographed, or processed work contained in one binding or portfolio, hardbound or paperbound, which has been cataloged, classified, or otherwise made ready for use. Include any government documents that are accessible through the library's catalog regardless of whether or not they are separately shelved. This includes documents for which records are provided by the library or downloaded from other sources into the library catalog.

Titles (lines 25, 27, 29, 31, 36, 38, 40) — Report the number of titles of publications which form a separate bibliographic whole, whether issued in one or several ;volumes, reels, disks, slides, or parts. The term "title" applies equally to printed materials, such as books and periodicals, as well as to audiovisual materials, microforms, and machine-readable materials. Usually, but not always, there will be a separate shelflist entry for each title. (SHELFLIST: A record of materials in a library, arranged in the order in which the materials stand when they are shelved or stored.) Thus, six copies of the same edition of a title count as one title; two editions of the same title which have been cataloged or recorded separately count as two titles; a set of six monographs for which six shelflist entries have been made count as six titles; and two multi-volume sets of the same edition for which one shelflist entry has been made count as one title.
(Government documents (lines 26 and 27) - For government documents not accessible through the library catalog and not reported on other lines, report the number of units and titles of materials in all formats.

Current serials (lines 28 and 29) - Report the total number of current serials received including those that are paid for and those received without payment. Include government documents issued serially. Exclude microforms, audiovisual materials, and machine- readable materials.

Microforms (lines $\mathbf{3 0}$ and 31) - Report the number of units of microforms and the number of different titles of materials that have been photographically reduced in size for storage, protection and inexpensive publication purposes, and which must be read with the help of enlarging instruments. Examples of microforms are: roll microfilm, microcard, microfiche, and ultrafiche. Include government documents.

Manuscripts and archives (line 32) - Report the linear feet of shelf space occupied by these materiais. ivianuscripts lare handwritten or typed documents, including carbon copies. Archives are non-current records of an organization or institution preserved because of their continuing value. Include government documents.

Cartographic materials (line 33) - Report the number of units of materials representing in whole or in part the earth or any celestial body at any scale. These materials include twoand three-dimensional maps and globes. Include government documents.

Graphic materials (line 34) - Report the number of units of materials for viewing without sound. The materials may or may not be projected or magnified. They include art originals, art prints, art reproductions, slides, transparencies, filmstrips, photographs, pictures, postcards, posters, study prints, and the like. Filmstrips that also include sound should be included on this line. Include government documents.

Sound recordings (lines 35 and 36) - Report the number of units and titles of materials on which sounds (only) are stored (recorded) and that can be reproduced (played back) mechanically, electronically, or both. These materials include audio cassettes, audio cartridges, audio discs, audio reels, talking books, and other sound recordings. Include government documents.
(Film and video materials (lines 37 and 38) - Report the number of units and titles of materials on film or video media. Films are produced in a variety of sizes ( 8 , super $8,16,35,55$, and 70 mm ) and a variety of formats (cartridge, cassette, loop, reel). Video materials include videotapes and laser disks on which pictures and sound are recorded. Include government documents.

Computer files (lines 39 and 40) - Report the number of units and titles of materials such as CD-ROMs, magnetic tapes and magnetic disks, that are designed to be processed by a computer or similar machine. Examples are U.S. Census data tapes, locally-mounted databases, and reference tools on CD-ROM, tape, or floppy disk. Exclude bibliographic records used to manage the collection, library system software, and microcomputer software used only by the library staff. Include current serials. Include government documents. If a CD-ROM subscription for a title is contained on one disc that is updated (i.e., replaced) once a month, count as one unit, not twelve.

Other library materiais (line 41) - Report here the number of units of any materials not already included on lines 24-40. Include government documents.

## Part E - LIBRARY SERVICES, FISCAL YEAR 1994

Circulation transactions (lines 42 and 43) - Report the number of items lent from the general collection on line 42 and from the reserve collection on line 43 for use usually (although not always) outside the library. These activities include initial charges, either manual or electronic, and also renewals, each of which is reported as a circulation transaction.

Reserve collection (line 43) - Those materials that have been removed from the general library collection and set aside in a library so they will be on hand for a certain course of study or activity in process. Usually, the circulation and length of loan of items in a reserve collection are restricted so that these items will be available to many users who have need of them within a limited time period.

Document delivery/finteriforãy loans (iines 44 and 45) On line 44, report the number of filled requests for material provided to other libraries. On line 45, report the number of filled requests for material received from other libraries or document delivery services. On both lines, include both originals and copies and materials sent by telefacsimile or other forms of electronic transmission. Do not include transactions between the main or central library and any libraries reported in Part A or transactions between libraries reported in Part A.

Information services to groups (lines 46 and 47) Report the total number of presentations (line 46) and the total number of persons served by those presentations (line 47). Information services to groups are presentations at which a staff member or person invited by a staff member provides information intended for a number of persons and planned in advance. These services may be either bibliographic instruction or library use presentations, or cultural, recreational, or educational presentations. Presentations both on and off the library premises should be included, as long as they are sponsored by the library. Do not include meetings sponsored by other groups using library meeting rooms.

## Part F - LIBRARY SERVICES - TYPICAL WEEK FALL 1994

Collect data during a typical week in the fall. A typical week is defined above under "PERIOD OF REPORT." If waiting for a typical week in Fall 1994 will delay this form, please use typical week data from the preceding fiscal year. If you have data for the entire year, divide by the number of weeks that the library was open.

## Part F - LIBRARY SERVICES - TYPICAL WEEK FALL 1994 - Continued

Public service hours (line 48) - Report an unduplicated count of public service hours for both main library and branches reported on line 1 using the following method. If a library is open from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, it should report 40 hours per week. If several of its branches are also open during those hours, the figure remains 40 hours per week. Should Branch A also be open one evening from 7:00 to 9:00, the total hours during which users can find service becomes 42. If Branch B is open the same hours on the same evening, the total remains 42, but if it is open 2 hours on another evening, or from 5:00 to 7:00 on the evening when Branch A is open later, the total becomes 44 hours during which users can find service.

Gate count (line 49) - Report the number of persons who physically enter library facilities. It is understood that a single person may be counted more than once.

Reference transactions (line 50) - Report the total number of reference transactions. A reference transaction is an information contact that involves the knowledge, use, recommendation, interpretation, or instruction in the use of one or more information sources by a member of the library
staff. Information sources include printed and non-printed materials, machine-readable databases (including assistance with computer searching) catalogs and other holdings records, and, through communication or referral, other libraries and institutions, and persons both inside and outside the library. Include information and referral services. If a contact includes both reference and directional services, it should be reported as one reference transaction. When a staff member utilizes information gained from a previous use of information sources to answer a question, report as a reference transaction, even if the source is not consulted again during this transaction. Duration should not be an element in determining whether a transaction is a reference transaction.

Do not report directional transactions here. A directional transaction is an information contact which facilitates the use of the library in which the contact occurs and which does NOT involve the knowledge, use, recommendation, interpretation, or instruction in the use of any information sources other than those which describe the library, such as schedules, floor plans, handbooks, and policy statements. Examples of directional transactions include giving instruction in locating, within the library, staff, library users, or physical features, etc., and giving assistance of a nonbibliographic nature with machines.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Specialized institutions offer degrees ranging from the bachelor's to the doctorate, at least 50 percent of which are in a single specialized field, e.g., "theological seminaries, Bible Colleges, and other institutions offering degrees in religion," and "schools of art, music, and design."

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Academic library staff were reported in the survey form in full-time-equivalents (FTE's)

[^2]:    1 Institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994 . 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. The "Carnegie Classification' is based on criteria such as Institution mission and research funding in addition to highest evel of Higher Education. 1994 Edition. NOTE: This table is similar to Table 9B in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D. TABS report.

    SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey

[^3]:    I institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education. 1994

[^4]:    1 Institutlons with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education. 1994

[^5]:    1 Institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education, 1994 ". 'Level' refers to the highest level of any degree offered by the institution. While 'level' and 'Carnegie Classification' are similar, there is not complete overlap in the two classifications. 'Lever' refers The 'Carnegle Classification' is based on criteria such as institution mission and research funding in adasition the 3 'Information resources total' most closely resembles the category 'Collection expenditures total' In the 1992 Acadermic Libraries E.D.-TABS report.
     This table is similar to Table 3B in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report.

[^6]:    institutions with accreditation at the higher education level recognized by the Secretary of Education. 1994
    FTE enrollment is calculated by adding one-third of part-time enrollment to full-time enrollment.
    NOTE: This table is similar to Table 11C in the 1992 Academic Librarles E.D.-TABS report.

[^7]:    2 'Information resources' most closely resembles the category 'Collection expenditures' in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report. NOTE: This table is similar to Table 11 A in the 1992 Academic Libraries E.D.-TABS report

    SOURCE: 1994 IPEDS Academic Library Survey and 1993-94 IPEDS Finance Survey

[^8]:    *FTE = Full-time equivalent

